arXiv:1101.6034v1 [math.RT] 31 Jan 2011

Schur–Weyl Theory for C^*-algebras

Daniel Beltiţă* and Karl-Hermann Neeb †

February 1, 2011

Abstract

To each irreducible infinite dimensional representation $(\pi, \mathcal{H})$ of a C^*-algebra $\mathcal{A}$, we associate a collection of irreducible norm-continuous unitary representations $\pi_\lambda^{\mathcal{A}}$ of its unitary group $\mathrm{U}(\mathcal{A})$, whose equivalence classes are parameterized by highest weights in the same way as the irreducible bounded unitary representations of the group $\mathrm{U}_\infty(\mathcal{H}) = \mathrm{U}(\mathcal{H}) \cap (\mathbf{1} + K(\mathcal{H}))$ are. These are precisely the representations arising in the decomposition of the tensor products $\mathcal{H}^{\otimes n} \otimes (\mathcal{H}^*)^{\otimes m}$ under $\mathrm{U}(\mathcal{A})$. We show that these representations can be realized by sections of holomorphic line bundles over homogeneous Kähler manifolds on which $\mathrm{U}(\mathcal{A})$ acts transitively and that the corresponding norm-closed momentum sets $I^{\mathbf{n}}_{\pi_\lambda^{\mathcal{A}}} \subseteq \mathfrak{u}(\mathcal{A})'$ distinguish inequivalent representations of this type.

Mathematics Subject Classification 2000: 22E65, 22E45, 46L05
Keywords and phrases: infinite dimensional Lie group, C^*-algebra, unitary group, Schur–Weyl duality

Contents

1 Momentum sets of bounded unitary representations 4

2 Irreducible unitary representations of $\mathrm{U}_\infty(\mathcal{H})$ 9

3 From $\mathrm{U}_\infty(\mathcal{H})$ to C^*-algebras 19

4 More on extreme points of momentum sets 26

A Schur–Weyl duality for infinite dimensional spaces 29

B Weyl group orbits and their convex hulls 32

---

*Institute of Mathematics "Simion Stoilow" of the Romanian Academy, P.O. Box 1-764, Bucharest, Romania; email: `Daniel.Beltita@imar.ro`

†Department Mathematik, FAU Erlangen-Nürnberg, Bismarckstrasse 1½, 91054-Erlangen, Germany; email: `neeb@mi.uni-erlangen.de`

Introduction

The relationship between properties of C^*-algebras and the representation theory of their unitary groups has come up in the literature ever since the classification in [Se57] of the representations of the unitary group on a Hilbert space satisfying a certain positivity condition. Other classification results in this direction were later obtained; see for instance [Ki73], [SV75], [Ol79], [Ol84], [Pi88], [Boy93] and the references therein. The broad issue of developing a systematic approach to the classification of representations of the unitary groups of C^*-algebras was raised in [Pa83], and this is precisely the problem which we address in the present work —by using different tools, however: namely, the momentum sets of unitary representations (cf. [Ne00], [Ne08], [Ne09], and [Ne10]). For a Banach–Lie group G and a norm-continuous unitary representation $\pi\colon G \to \mathrm{U}(\mathcal{H})$, there are two variants of the momentum set. Both rely on the momentum map

$$\Phi_\pi\colon \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H}) = \{[v] = \mathbb{C}v\colon 0 \neq v \in \mathcal{H}\} \to \mathfrak{g}', \quad \Phi_\pi([v])(x) = \frac{\langle -i\mathtt{d}\pi(x)v, v\rangle}{\langle v, v\rangle}.$$

The larger one is the weak-$*$-closure I_π of $\mathrm{conv}(\mathrm{im}(\Phi_\pi))$, and the smaller one is the norm-closure $I_\pi^{\mathbf{n}}$ of this set. One connection between the present approach and the one of [Pa83] will be established in Proposition 3.9 below. Before to describe the contents of our paper in some more detail, we shall briefly survey some progress that has been made so far. Specifically, the goal is to understand the irreducible bounded unitary representations of $\mathrm{U}(\mathcal{A})$ for a unital C^*-algebra $\mathcal{A}$ in terms of irreducible algebra representations of $\mathcal{A}$, whose classification is much better understood (as seen from [GK60, Cor. 8] or [KOS03, Thm. 1.1], and also from [Vo76]).

If $\mathcal{A}$ is commutative, then $\exp\colon \mathfrak{u}(\mathcal{A}) \to \mathrm{U}(\mathcal{A})$ induces a covering of the identity component, so that $\mathrm{U}(\mathcal{A})_0 \cong \mathfrak{u}(\mathcal{A})/\Gamma_{\mathcal{A}}$, where $\Gamma_{\mathcal{A}} = \ker(\exp_{\mathcal{A}}|_{\mathfrak{u}(\mathcal{A})})$ is isomorphic to the discrete subgroup of $(\mathcal{A}, +)$ generated by the projections. Since $\mathrm{U}(\mathcal{A})_0$ is divisible, we have $\mathrm{U}(\mathcal{A}) \cong \mathrm{U}(\mathcal{A})_0 \times \pi_0(\mathrm{U}(\mathcal{A}))$. This leads to the explicit description of the character group of $\mathrm{U}(\mathcal{A})$ in terms of data associated to $\mathcal{A}$:

$$\mathrm{U}(\mathcal{A})\widehat{\ } \cong \{\alpha \in \mathfrak{u}(\mathcal{A})'\colon \alpha(\Gamma_A) \subseteq 2\pi\mathbb{Z}\} \times \pi_0(\mathrm{U}(\mathcal{A}))\widehat{\ }.$$

This description of the unitary dual of $\mathrm{U}(\mathcal{A})$ shows that, in general, it is not generated by the restrictions of algebra characters to $\mathcal{A}$. This is only true if $\widehat{\mathcal{A}} = \mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{A}, \mathbb{C})$ is totally disconnected (cf. [Au93]).

The next step is to consider algebras of the form $\mathcal{A} = M_n(C(X))$, X a compact space. For $X = \{*\}$ we have $\mathcal{A} \cong M_n(\mathbb{C})$, and the classical Schur–Weyl theory implies that all irreducible unitary representations of $\mathrm{U}(\mathcal{A}) \cong \mathrm{U}_n(\mathbb{C})$ occur in some finite tensor product of the identical representation on $\mathbb{C}^n$ and its dual. In general, $\mathrm{U}(\mathcal{A}) = \mathrm{U}_n(C(X))$, and the irreducible representations of the identity component of this groups are described in [NS10, Sect. 6]. Actually [NS10] deals with the group $\mathrm{SU}_n(\mathcal{A})_0$ corresponding to $\mathfrak{su}_n(\mathcal{A})$, but since $\mathfrak{u}_n(\mathcal{A}) \cong \mathfrak{u}(\mathcal{A}) \oplus \mathfrak{su}_n(\mathcal{A})$, all irreducible unitary representations of $\mathrm{U}_n(\mathcal{A})_0$ restrict to irreducible representations on $\mathrm{SU}_n(\mathcal{A})_0$ and the central subgroup $\mathrm{U}(\mathcal{A})_0\mathbf{1}$ is mapped into $\mathbb{T}\mathbf{1}$. In loc. cit. it is shown in particular that all irreducible representations factor through some homomorphism $\mathrm{SU}_n(C(X)) \to \mathrm{SU}_n(\mathbb{C})^{|F|}$, induced by some evaluation map $\mathrm{ev}_F \colon C(X) \to \mathbb{C}^F$, where $F \subseteq X$ is a finite set. From the corresponding result for $\mathrm{SU}_n(\mathbb{C})$, it thus follows that all irreducible bounded unitary representations of $\mathrm{SU}_n(\mathcal{A})$ embed in some tensor product of finitely many irreducible representations of $\mathcal{A}$, which are given by evaluations $\mathrm{ev}_x \colon M_n(C(X)) \to M_n(\mathbb{C})$ in points of X ([Dix64, Cor. 10.4.4]).

In the present paper we analyze those irreducible representations of $\mathrm{U}(\mathcal{A})$ obtained from an irreducible algebra representation $(\pi, \mathcal{H})$ by decomposing the tensor products $\mathcal{H}^{\otimes n} \otimes (\mathcal{H}^*)^{\otimes m}$ under the action of $\mathrm{U}(\mathcal{A})$.

The main method of our investigation consists in using the information encoded in the momentum sets of these representations. It is quite remarkable that, even in this situation of representations of infinite dimensional Lie groups, the data provided by the highest weight theory can be read off the extreme points of the momentum sets. Therefore, it eventually turns out that a mere look at suitable momentum sets in principle suffices for establishing whether two of these representations are equivalent. This approach to unitary representations of $\mathrm{U}(\mathcal{A})$ connects naturally with the classical C^*-algebraic methods. If π is the restriction of an algebra representation $\pi \colon \mathcal{A} \to B(\mathcal{H})$, then its momentum set I_π can be identified with the state space of the C^*-algebra $\pi(\mathcal{A}) \cong \mathcal{A}/\ker\pi$, hence contains no more information than the kernel of π ([Ne00, Thm. X.5.12]). The norm-closed momentum set $I_\pi^{\mathbf{n}}$ can be identified with the normal state space of the von Neumann algebra $\pi(\mathcal{A})'' \cong \mathcal{A}^{**}/\ker\pi^{**}$, where $\pi^{**} \colon \mathcal{A}^{**} \to B(\mathcal{H})$ is the associated representation of the enveloping W^*-algebra of $\mathcal{A}$. Equivalently, $I_\pi^{\mathbf{n}}$ determines the quasi-equivalence class of the algebra representation π.

Here follows a more specific description of the contents of our paper. Section 1 records general definitions, remarks and some auxiliary facts needed later on. We also introduce here the new notion of norm-closed momentum set for uniformly continuous representations of Banach–Lie groups.

Section 2 includes an updated exposition on the irreducible representations of the unitary group $\mathrm{U}_\infty(\mathcal{H})$ consisting of the unitary operators on the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ which are compact perturbations of the identity operator. The topics treated here are: highest weight theory, realization in tensor products, and geometric realizations on line bundles over flag manifolds. Moreover, we include here the first applications of the momentum sets in representation theory of unitary groups, by proving that the unitary irreducible representations of $\mathrm{U}_\infty(\mathcal{H})$ are distinguished from each other by their momentum sets.

In Section 3 we extend these results to irreducible representations of unitary groups of C^*-algebras. Thus, we obtain rather precise descriptions of the corresponding norm-closed momentum sets and show that the extreme points of any of these momentum sets is a coadjoint orbit, just as in the case of compact Lie groups. This fact plays a crucial role for the applications we make to the problem of deciding whether two representations are unitarily equivalent or not.

In Section 4 we use some basic notions of infinite dimensional convexity in order to get additional information on the extreme points of the momentum sets, by looking at the continuity points of the identity map from the norm topology on a momentum set to the weak-$*$-topology on the same set.

The paper concludes by two appendices. The first of them records several auxiliary results of a rather algebraic nature needed in the main body of the paper. The second appendix is devoted to a discussion on topological properties and extreme points for the orbits of certain infinite Weyl groups; these properties are needed in Section 3 and are also interesting on their own.

1 Momentum sets of bounded unitary representations

The present section records the preliminaries for the results to be obtained later on. Throughout this paper, $\mathcal{H}$ denotes an infinite dimensional complex Hilbert space, $B(\mathcal{H})$ the C^*-algebra of bounded operators on $\mathcal{H}$, and $K(\mathcal{H}) \trianglelefteq B(\mathcal{H})$ the ideal of compact operators. Moreover, we denote by $F(\mathcal{H})$ the ideal of finite-rank operators and by $\mathfrak{S}_p(\mathcal{H})$ the p-th Schatten ideal if $1 \le p \le \infty$, so that $\mathfrak{S}_\infty(\mathcal{H}) = K(\mathcal{H})$. Accordingly we write $\mathrm{U}_p(\mathcal{H}) := \mathrm{U}(\mathcal{H}) \cap (\mathbf{1} + \mathfrak{S}_p(\mathcal{H}))$ for the corresponding restricted unitary groups. We sometimes denote by WO the weak operator topology on $B(\mathcal{H})$, by SO the strong operator topology, and by w^* the weak-$*$-topology of $B(\mathcal{H})$ when it is thought of as the topological dual of $\mathfrak{S}_1(\mathcal{H})$ by using the trace pairing. More generally, for any Banach space $\mathcal{X}$ with the dual $\mathcal{X}'$ and any subset $A \subseteq \mathcal{X}'$ we denote its norm-closure by $\overline{A}^{\mathbf{n}}$ and its weak-$*$-closure by $\overline{A}^{w^*}$.

In this note we only consider unitary representations $(\pi, \mathcal{H})$ of a Banach–Lie group G which are *bounded* in the sense that $\pi\colon G \to \mathrm{U}(\mathcal{H})$ is a morphism of Banach–Lie groups, which is equivalent to π being continuous with respect to the operator norm on $\mathrm{U}(\mathcal{H})$. Then the derived representation $\mathtt{d}\pi\colon \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{u}(\mathcal{H})$ is a morphism of Banach–Lie algebras, and we have the *momentum map*

$$\Phi_\pi\colon \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H}) = \{[v] = \mathbb{C}v\colon 0 \neq v \in \mathcal{H}\} \to \mathfrak{g}', \quad \Phi_\pi([v])(x) := \frac{1}{i}\frac{\langle \mathtt{d}\pi(x)v, v\rangle}{\langle v, v\rangle},$$

corresponding to the Hamiltonian action of G on the Kähler manifold $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H})$.

Remark 1.1 One can provide as follows an alternative description for the momentum map, which is specific to the case of the bounded representations. For every $v \in \mathcal{H} \setminus \{0\}$ let us consider the corresponding rank-one orthogonal projection $P_v = \frac{1}{\|v\|^2}\langle \cdot, v\rangle v \in B(\mathcal{H})$. Then $[v] \mapsto P_v$ is a well-defined $\mathrm{U}(\mathcal{H})$-equivariant diffeomorphism of $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H})$ onto the coadjoint orbit of $\mathrm{U}(\mathcal{H})$ consisting of the rank-one orthogonal projections on $\mathcal{H}$. It is easily seen that

$$(\forall [v] \in \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H})) \quad \Phi_\pi([v]) = -i\mathrm{Tr}\,(\mathtt{d}\pi(\cdot)P_v) \in \mathfrak{g}'. \tag{1}$$

If we denote by $\mathrm{Herm}_1(\mathcal{H})$ the space of self-adjoint trace-class operators on $\mathcal{H}$, then it follows by the above formula that the momentum map extends to a bounded linear map $\Phi_\pi\colon \mathrm{Herm}_1(\mathcal{H}) \to \mathfrak{g}'$, $\Phi_\pi(T) = -i\mathrm{Tr}\,(\mathtt{d}\pi(\cdot)T)$. The latter map is the dual $\mathtt{d}\pi' \colon \mathfrak{u}(\mathcal{H})' \to \mathfrak{g}'$ of the derived representation, restricted to $\mathrm{Herm}_1(\mathcal{H})$, considered as a subspace of $\mathfrak{u}(\mathcal{H})'$.

Definition 1.2 The norm-closed convex hull

$$I_\pi^{\mathbf{n}} := \overline{\mathrm{conv}}^{\mathbf{n}}(\mathrm{im}(\Phi_\pi)) \subseteq \mathfrak{g}'$$

of the image of the momentum map Φ_π is called the *norm-closed (convex) momentum set of* π, while the weak-$*$-closed convex hull

$$I_\pi := \overline{\mathrm{conv}}^{w^*}(\mathrm{im}(\Phi_\pi)) \subseteq \mathfrak{g}'$$

is called the *(convex) momentum set of* π. It is clear that $I_\pi^{\mathbf{n}}$ is a weak-$*$-dense subset of the weak-$*$-compact set I_π.

For later use we now record a lemma which applies in particular to unitary groups of C^*-algebras and shows the significance of the momentum sets in the framework of the contractive representation theory of [Pa83] and [Pa87].

Lemma 1.3 *Let G be a Banach–Lie group with the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$. Assume that the topologies of G and $\mathfrak{g}$ are defined by a left invariant metric $d(\cdot,\cdot)$ and a norm $\|\cdot\|$, respectively, such that*

$$(\forall x \in \mathfrak{g}) \quad \limsup_{t\to 0} \frac{d(\exp_G(tx), \mathbf{1})}{t} \le \|x\|.$$

Then, for every bounded representation $\pi \colon G \to \mathrm{U}(\mathcal{H})$, we have

$$\sup\{\|\mu\| \colon \mu \in I_\pi\} = \|\mathtt{d}\pi\| \le \sup\Big\{\frac{\|\pi(g_1) - \pi(g_2)\|}{d(g_1, g_2)} \colon g_1, g_2 \in G,\ g_1 \ne g_2\Big\}.$$

Proof. Let us denote the rightmost side of the above quantities by L_π. Since the metric on G is left invariant, it follows that

$$L_\pi = \sup\Big\{\frac{\|\pi(g) - \mathbf{1}\|}{d(g, \mathbf{1})} \colon \mathbf{1} \ne g \in G\Big\}.$$

Now let $x \in \mathfrak{g}$ arbitrary and define

$$\gamma \colon \mathbb{R} \to \mathrm{U}(\mathcal{H}), \quad \gamma(t) = \pi(\exp_G(tx)) = \exp(t\mathtt{d}\pi(x)).$$

Then $\|\gamma(t) - \mathbf{1}\| \le L_\pi \cdot d(\exp_G(tx), \mathbf{1})$ and $\mathtt{d}\pi(x) = \lim\limits_{t\to 0} \frac{1}{t}(\gamma(t) - \mathbf{1})$, hence

$$\|\mathtt{d}\pi(x)\| \le L_\pi \limsup_{t\to 0} \frac{d(\exp_G(tx), \mathbf{1})}{t} \le L_\pi \|x\|.$$

Thus $\|\mathtt{d}\pi\| \le L_\pi$.

Finally, the equality $\sup\{\|\mu\| \colon \mu \in I_\pi\} = \|\mathtt{d}\pi\|$ is a direct consequence of the fact that $\|A\| = \sup\{|\langle Av, v\rangle| / \langle v, v\rangle \colon 0 \ne v \in \mathcal{H}\}$ whenever $A = A^* \in B(\mathcal{H})$. ■

Below we discuss two key examples of momentum sets (see also Corollary 1.7 below).

Examples 1.4 (a) To a trace class operator $T \in \mathfrak{S}_1(\mathcal{H})$ we associated the continuous linear functional $\psi_T(X) := -i\,\mathrm{tr}(TX)$ on $\mathfrak{u}(\mathcal{H})$ and recall that the set of normal states of the von Neumann algebra is the set

$$\mathcal{S} := S_*(B(\mathcal{H})) = \{i\psi_S \colon S^* = S, 0 \leq S, \mathrm{tr}\, S = 1\}.$$

The set $S(B(\mathcal{H}))$ of states of the C^*-algebra $B(\mathcal{H})$ is much larger and contains elements vanishing on the ideal $K(\mathcal{H})$ of compact operators.

(b) Let $\mathrm{id}_{\mathrm{U}(\mathcal{H})}$ be the identical representation of the full unitary group $\mathrm{U}(\mathcal{H})$. We claim that

$$I^{\mathbf{n}}_{\mathrm{id}_{\mathrm{U}(\mathcal{H})}} = -i\mathcal{S}|_{\mathfrak{u}(\mathcal{H})} \quad \text{and} \quad I_{\mathrm{id}_{\mathrm{U}(\mathcal{H})}} = -iS(B(\mathcal{H}))|_{\mathfrak{u}(\mathcal{H})}.$$

Since the convex $\mathrm{U}(\mathcal{H})$-invariant set $\mathcal{S}$ separates of points of $B(\mathcal{H})$, it is weak-$*$-dense in $S(B(\mathcal{H}))$ (cf. [Se49]; [Ne00, Thm. X.5.13]). Therefore we only have to determine the norm closed momentum set.

For $t_1, \ldots, t_n \in [0,1]$ with $t_1 + \cdots + t_n = 1$, unit vectors $v_1, \ldots, v_n \in \mathcal{H}$ and $X \in \mathfrak{u}(\mathcal{H})$, we have

$$\sum_{j=1}^n t_j \Phi_{\mathrm{id}_{\mathrm{U}(\mathcal{H})}}([v_j])(X) = -i\sum_{j=1}^n \langle Xv_j, v_j\rangle = -i\mathrm{Tr}\,(XT) = \psi_T(X)$$

where $T := \sum\limits_{j=1}^n t_j\langle\cdot, v_j\rangle v_j$ is a finite-rank operator in $\mathcal{S}$. Since the set of these operators is norm dense in $\mathcal{S}$, our claim follows.

(c) For the identical representation of $\mathrm{U}_\infty(\mathcal{H})$, we claim that

$$I^{\mathbf{n}}_{\mathrm{id}_{U_\infty(\mathcal{H})}} = -i\mathcal{S}|_{\mathfrak{u}_\infty(\mathcal{H})}.$$

The same argument as in (b) implies that $I^{\mathbf{n}}_{\mathrm{id}_{U_\infty(\mathcal{H})}} = -i\mathcal{S}|_{\mathfrak{u}_\infty(\mathcal{H})}$. If $\mathcal{H}$ is infinite dimensional, then this set is not weak-$*$-closed because 0 is contained in its weak-$*$-closure. In fact, for every orthonormal sequence $(e_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ in $\mathcal{H}$, the sequence of projection operators $P_n = \langle\cdot, e_n\rangle e_n$ in $\mathrm{Herm}_1(\mathcal{H}) \cong \mathfrak{u}_\infty(\mathcal{H})'$ converges to 0 in the weak-$*$-topology since $Xe_n \to 0$ holds for every compact operator $X \in K(\mathcal{H})$. As the set of all non-negative hermitian trace class operators with $\|S\|_1 \leq 1$ is weak-$*$-closed, it follows that

$$I_{\mathrm{id}_{U_\infty(\mathcal{H})}} = \{\psi_S \colon S^* = S, 0 \leq S, \mathrm{tr}\, S = \|S\|_1 \leq 1\}.$$

Proposition 1.5 *If $\gamma\colon G_1 \to G_2$ is any morphism of Banach–Lie groups and $(\pi, \mathcal{H})$ is a bounded representation of G_1, then $I_{\pi\circ\gamma} = \{\varphi \circ \mathtt{d}\gamma \colon \varphi \in I_\pi\}$.*

Proof. The mapping $\mathfrak{g}_2' \to \mathfrak{g}_1'$, $\varphi \mapsto \varphi \circ \mathtt{d}\pi$ is continuous with respect to the weak-$*$-topologies, hence it takes the compact set I_π to a compact set. Since the latter compact set is clearly contained in $I_{\pi\circ\gamma}$ and contains a weak-$*$-dense subset of $I_{\pi\circ\gamma}$, the assertion follows. ■

Corollary 1.6 *For a bounded representation of the Banach–Lie group G, the following assertions hold:*

(i) *We have* $I_\pi = \{-i\psi \circ \mathtt{d}\pi \colon \psi \in S(B(\mathcal{H}))\}$.

(ii) *If* $\pi(G) \subseteq U_\infty(\mathcal{H})$, *then* $I_\pi = \{-i\psi \circ \mathtt{d}\pi \colon \psi \in S_*(B(\mathcal{H}))\}$.

Proof. Use Proposition 1.5 along with Examples 1.4. ∎

The next corollary shows that the momentum set of a representation of a unital C^*-algebra ([Ne00, Def. X.5.9 and Thm. X.5.13(iii)]) coincides with the momentum set of the corresponding representation of its unitary group.

Corollary 1.7 *Consider a unital C^*-algebra $\mathcal{A} \subseteq B(\mathcal{H})$ with the tautological representation of its unitary group denoted by $\mathrm{id}_{\mathrm{U}(\mathcal{A})} \colon \mathrm{U}(\mathcal{A}) \to B(\mathcal{H})$. The momentum set of this representation is $I_{\mathrm{id}_{\mathrm{U}(\mathcal{A})}} = \{-i\psi|_{\mathfrak{u}(\mathcal{A})} \colon \psi \in S(\mathcal{A})\}$.*

Proof. The assertion follows by Corollary 1.6 along with the fact that every state of $\mathcal{A}$ extends to some state of $B(\mathcal{H})$ (cf. [Dix64]). ∎

We now state a consequence of Corollary 1.6 on extreme points of momentum sets for a special class of bounded representations.

Corollary 1.8 *If G is a Banach–Lie group and $\pi \colon G \to \mathrm{U}_\infty(\mathcal{H})$ a bounded representation, then for every extreme point $\lambda \in I_\pi$ there exists a unit vector $v \in \mathcal{H}_\pi$ such that $\lambda(X) = -i\langle \mathtt{d}\pi(X)v, v\rangle$ for every $X \in \mathfrak{g}$, i.e.,*

$$\mathrm{Ext}(I_\pi) \subseteq \mathrm{im}(\Phi_\pi).$$

Proof. It follows by Corollary 1.6(ii) that I_π is the image of the weak-$*$-compact convex set $S_*(B(\mathcal{H}))$ by the affine transform $\psi \mapsto -i\psi \circ \mathtt{d}\pi$. Then every extreme point of I_π is the image of some extreme point of $S_*(B(\mathcal{H}))$. Since every normal pure state of $B(\mathcal{H})$ is of the form $T \mapsto \langle Tv, v\rangle$ for some unit vector $v \in \mathcal{H}_\pi$, the assertion follows. ∎

Dual Banach–Lie groups and normal representations

Definition 1.9 (a) By *dual Banach–Lie algebra* we mean any pair $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}_*)$ consisting of a Banach–Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ and a closed linear subspace $\mathfrak{g}_*$ of the topological dual $\mathfrak{g}'$ such that for every continuous linear functional $\psi \colon \mathfrak{g}_* \to \mathbb{R}$ there exists a unique element $x_\psi \in \mathfrak{g}$ such that $\psi(\xi) = \xi(x_\psi)$ for every $\xi \in \mathfrak{g}'$. If this is the case, then we have a linear topological isomorphism $(\mathfrak{g}_*)' \simeq \mathfrak{g}$, $\psi \mapsto x_\psi$. Therefore $\mathfrak{g}_*$ is called a *predual* of $\mathfrak{g}$ and it makes sense to speak about the weak-$*$-topology of $\mathfrak{g}$. The predual will be fixed in what follows, and it will be omitted from the notation, for the sake of simplicity.

(b) If $\mathfrak{g}$ is the Lie algebra of some Banach–Lie group G, then G is said to be a *dual Banach–Lie group.* By a *normal representation* of G we mean any bounded representation $\pi\colon G \to \mathrm{U}(\mathcal{H})$ whose derived representation $\mathtt{d}\pi\colon \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{u}(\mathcal{H})$ has the property that its adjoint $\mathtt{d}\pi'\colon \mathfrak{u}(\mathcal{H})' \to \mathfrak{g}'$ maps $\mathfrak{u}(\mathcal{H})_* := i\,\mathrm{Herm}_1(\mathcal{H})$ into $\mathfrak{g}_*$. This is equivalent to $\mathtt{d}\pi$ being continuous with respect to the weak-$*$-topologies on $\mathfrak{g}$ and $\mathfrak{u}(\mathcal{H})$.

Example 1.10 For every W^*-algebra $\mathcal{M}$ with predual $\mathcal{M}_*$, the unitary group $\mathrm{U}(\mathcal{M})$ is a dual Banach–Lie group with respect to the predual

$$\mathfrak{u}(\mathcal{M})_* := \{\varphi \in \mathcal{M}_*\colon \varphi^* = -\varphi\}.$$

This applies in particular to $\mathcal{M} = B(\mathcal{H})$ with $\mathcal{M}_* = \mathfrak{S}_1(\mathcal{H})$. The restriction of a normal representation $\pi\colon \mathcal{M} \to B(\mathcal{H})$ to the unitary group defines a normal representations of the dual Banach–Lie group $\mathrm{U}(\mathcal{M})$.

Lemma 1.11 *For a dual Banach–Lie group G, the following assertions hold:*

(i) *For every normal representation $\pi\colon G \to \mathrm{U}(\mathcal{H})$ we have $I_\pi^{\mathbf{n}} \subseteq \mathfrak{g}_*$.*

(ii) *Let $\mathfrak{a}$ be closed subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$ and $A = \langle \exp_G(\mathfrak{a})\rangle \subseteq G$ be the corresponding integral subgroup, endowed with its canonical Lie group structure. Denote by $\mathfrak{a}^*$ the linear subspace of the topological dual $\mathfrak{a}'$ consisting of the weak-$*$-continuous functionals. If the topology of $\mathfrak{g}$ is defined by a norm such that the unit ball of $\mathfrak{a}$ is weak-$*$-dense in the closed unit ball of $\mathfrak{g}$, then the restriction mapping $R_{\mathfrak{a}}\colon \mathfrak{g}_* \to \mathfrak{a}^*$, $\xi \mapsto \xi|_{\mathfrak{a}}$, is an isometric linear isomorphism and for every normal representation $\pi\colon G \to \mathrm{U}(\mathcal{H})$ we have $R_{\mathfrak{a}}(I_\pi^{\mathbf{n}}) = I_{\pi|_A}^{\mathbf{n}} \subseteq \mathfrak{a}^*$.*

Proof. (i) For every $v \in \mathcal{H} \setminus \{0\}$ let us consider the corresponding rank-one orthogonal projection $P_v = \frac{1}{\|v\|^2}\langle\cdot, v\rangle v \in B(\mathcal{H})$. Then Remark 1.1 shows that $\Phi_\pi([v]) = -i\mathrm{Tr}\,(\mathtt{d}\pi(\cdot)P_v)$. Since $\pi\colon G \to B(\mathcal{H})$ is a normal representation, $\Phi_\pi([v]) \in \mathfrak{g}_*$. The predual $\mathfrak{g}_*$ is a norm-closed linear subspace of $\mathfrak{g}'$, hence the norm-closed convex hull $I_\pi^{\mathbf{n}}$ of the image of Φ_π is contained in $\mathfrak{g}_*$.

(ii) The hypothesis that the unit ball of $\mathfrak{a}$ is weak-$*$-dense in the closed unit ball of $\mathfrak{g}$ entails that for every $\xi \in \mathfrak{g}_*$ we have $\|\xi\| = \|\xi|_{\mathfrak{a}}\|$. Thus the restriction mapping $R_{\mathfrak{a}}\colon \mathfrak{g}_* \to \mathfrak{a}^*$ is an isometry, and in particular its range is a closed subspace of $\mathfrak{a}'$. Moreover, since, every weak-$*$-continuous linear functional on $\mathfrak{a}$ extends to a weak-$*$-continuous linear functional on $\mathfrak{g}$ by the Hahn-Banach theorem, the restriction map $R_{\mathfrak{a}}\colon \mathfrak{g}_* \to \mathfrak{a}^*$ is onto.

On the other hand, it follows directly from the definition of the momentum maps that for any normal representation $\pi\colon G \to \mathrm{U}(\mathcal{H})$ we have $\Phi_{\pi|_A} = R_{\mathfrak{a}} \circ \Phi_\pi$. The fact that $R_{\mathfrak{a}}\colon \mathfrak{g}_* \to \mathfrak{a}^*$ is an isometric linear isomorphism implies that it commutes with the operation of taking the norm-closed convex hull. This proves (ii). ∎

Proposition 1.12 *Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a W^*-algebra with a weak-$*$-dense unital C^*-subalgebra $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{M}$. Then the following assertions hold:*

(i) *If* $\pi\colon \mathrm{U}(\mathcal{M}) \to \mathrm{U}(\mathcal{H})$ *is a normal representation, then the restriction mapping* $R_{\mathfrak{u}(\mathcal{A})}\colon \mathfrak{u}(\mathcal{M})_* \to \mathfrak{u}(\mathcal{A})^*$ *is an isometric linear isomorphism satisfying* $R_{\mathfrak{u}(\mathcal{A})}(I_\pi^{\mathbf{n}}) = I^{\mathbf{n}}_{\pi|_{\mathrm{U}(\mathcal{A})}}$.

(ii) *If* $\pi_j\colon \mathrm{U}(\mathcal{M}) \to \mathrm{U}(\mathcal{H}_j)$, $j = 1, 2$, *are normal representations such that* $I_{\pi_1|_{\mathrm{U}(\mathcal{A}_0)}} \neq I_{\pi_2|_{\mathrm{U}(\mathcal{A}_0)}}$ *for some weak-∗-dense unital* C^**-subalgebra* $\mathcal{A}_0 \subseteq \mathcal{M}$*, then* $I^{\mathbf{n}}_{\pi_1|_{\mathrm{U}(\mathcal{A})}} \neq I^{\mathbf{n}}_{\pi_2|_{\mathrm{U}(\mathcal{A})}}$ *as well.*

Proof. (i) According to Kaplansky's Density Theorem for the weak-∗-dense C^*-subalgebra $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{M}$, it follows that the unit ball of $\mathcal{A}$ is weak-∗-dense in the closed unit ball of $\mathcal{M}$ (see for instance Thm. 4.8 and the comment following its proof in [Ta02, Ch. 2]). It is then clear that a similar assertion holds for the self-adjoint parts of the unit balls. Now the assertion follows by Lemma 1.11(ii).

(ii) Since $I^{\mathbf{n}}_{\pi_j|_{\mathrm{U}(\mathcal{A}_0)}}$ is weak-∗-dense in the momentum set $I_{\pi_j|_{\mathrm{U}(\mathcal{A}_0)}}$ for $j = 1, 2$ and $I_{\pi_1|_{\mathrm{U}(\mathcal{A}_0)}} \neq I_{\pi_2|_{\mathrm{U}(\mathcal{A}_0)}}$, it follows that $I^{\mathbf{n}}_{\pi_1|_{\mathrm{U}(\mathcal{A}_0)}} \neq I^{\mathbf{n}}_{\pi_2|_{\mathrm{U}(\mathcal{A}_0)}}$.

On the other hand, by using (i) for both $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{A}_0$, we get the isometric linear isomorphism

$$R := R_{\mathfrak{u}(\mathcal{A})} \circ (R_{\mathfrak{u}(\mathcal{A}_0)})^{-1}\colon \mathfrak{u}(\mathcal{A}_0)^* \to \mathfrak{u}(\mathcal{M})_* \to \mathfrak{u}(\mathcal{A})^*$$

with $R(I^{\mathbf{n}}_{\pi_j|_{\mathrm{U}(\mathcal{A}_0)}}) = I^{\mathbf{n}}_{\pi_j|_{\mathrm{U}(\mathcal{A})}}$ for $j = 1, 2$. Since we have already seen that $I^{\mathbf{n}}_{\pi_1|_{\mathrm{U}(\mathcal{A}_0)}} \neq I^{\mathbf{n}}_{\pi_2|_{\mathrm{U}(\mathcal{A}_0)}}$, it then follows that $I^{\mathbf{n}}_{\pi_1|_{\mathrm{U}(\mathcal{A})}} \neq I^{\mathbf{n}}_{\pi_2|_{\mathrm{U}(\mathcal{A})}}$. ■

2 Irreducible unitary representations of $\mathrm{U}_\infty(\mathcal{H})$

In this section we provide a brief review of the irreducible representation theory of the unitary group $\mathrm{U}_\infty(\mathcal{H})$, thereby updating Kirillov's classification in [Ki73] by using the Lie theoretic tools available nowadays in infinite dimensions: the momentum sets, the highest weight representations, and their geometric realizations in line bundles over flag manifolds. In particular, we show in Proposition 2.4 that two of the aforementioned irreducible representations are unitarily equivalent if and only if their momentum sets coincide. The discussion of the present section prepares the ground for more general results in representation theory of unitary groups of C^*-algebras, which will be obtained in the next section.

Highest weight theory

Bounded unitary representations of $\mathrm{U}_\infty(\mathcal{H})$, or, equivalently, corresponding holomorphic representations of the complexified group

$$\mathrm{GL}_\infty(\mathcal{H}) = \mathrm{GL}(\mathcal{H}) \cap (\mathbf{1} + K(\mathcal{H})),$$

have been classified for general Hilbert spaces $\mathcal{H}$ in [Ne98], where it is also shown that they all decompose as direct sums of irreducible ones and that the irreducible ones are highest weight representations $(\pi_\lambda, \mathcal{H}_\lambda)$. To make this more precise, we choose an orthonormal basis $(e_j)_{j\in J}$ in $\mathcal{H}$, so that $\mathcal{H} \cong \ell^2(J,\mathbb{C})$. Then the Lie subalgebra $\mathfrak{t}$ of diagonal operators in $\mathfrak{u}_\infty(\mathcal{H})$ is maximal abelian and, as a Banach space, isomorphic to $c_0(J,\mathbb{R})$. The corresponding subgroup $T = \exp(\mathfrak{t}) \cong c_0(J,\mathbb{R})/c_0(J,\mathbb{Z}) \cong c_0(J,\mathbb{R})/\mathbb{Z}^{(J)}$ is the analog of a maximal torus in $\mathrm{U}_\infty(\mathcal{H})$.

Definition 2.1 (a) The *Weyl group* in our setting is the group of finite permutations of J, and we denote it by $\mathcal{W} = S_{(J)}$. It acts naturally by composition on the set $\mathbb{Z}^J$ of $\mathbb{Z}$-valued functions on J.

The *group of weights* is $\mathcal{P} = \ell^1(J,\mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}^{(J)}$, that is, the additive group of all finitely supported functions $\lambda\colon J \to \mathbb{Z}$, $j \mapsto \lambda_j$. It can also be identified with the character group of the Banach–Lie group $T = \exp \mathfrak{t}$ by assigning to λ the character given by $\chi_\lambda(t) := \prod_{j\in J} t_j^{\lambda_j}$. We write $\varepsilon_j \in \mathcal{P}$ for the function defined by $\varepsilon_j(k) = \delta_{jk}$.

For every $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}$ we write $\lambda_\pm := \max(\pm\lambda, 0)$, and we thus obtain a decomposition $\lambda = \lambda_+ - \lambda_-$. For every $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}$ we denote by $[\lambda] = \mathcal{W}\lambda$ the orbit of λ with respect to the natural action of $\mathcal{W}$ on $\mathcal{P}$, and write $\mathcal{P}/\mathcal{W} := \{[\lambda]\colon \lambda \in \mathcal{P}\}$ for the set of $\mathcal{W}$-orbits in $\mathcal{P}$.

(b) Each $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}$ defines a continuous linear functional in $\mathfrak{t}' \cong \ell^1(J,\mathbb{R})$, and there exists a unique unitary representation $(\pi_\lambda, \mathcal{H}_\lambda)$ of $\mathrm{U}_\infty(\mathcal{H})$ whose weight set is given by

$$\mathcal{P}_\lambda = \mathrm{conv}(\mathcal{W}\lambda) \cap \mathcal{P}, \tag{2}$$

(see [Ne98]). Here the uniqueness implies in particular that $\pi_\mu \cong \pi_\lambda$ for $\mu \in \mathcal{W}\lambda$, so that the equivalence classes of these representations are parametrized by the orbit space $\mathcal{P}/\mathcal{W}$.

Let

$$\mathrm{Part}(n,k) := \Big\{\lambda = (\lambda_1,\ldots,\lambda_k) \in \mathbb{N}^k\colon \lambda_1 \geq \ldots \geq \lambda_k > 0, \sum_{j=1}^k \lambda_j = n\Big\}$$

be the set of partitions of $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ into k pieces and put

$$\mathrm{Part}(n) := \bigcup_{k\leq n} \mathrm{Part}(n,k).$$

The following theorem explains how pairs of partitions parametrize the equivalence classes of bounded unitary representations of $\mathrm{U}_\infty(\mathcal{H})$. Below we shall briefly discuss how this fits Kirillov's classification of the continuous unitary representations of $\mathrm{U}_\infty(\mathcal{H})$ for the case where $\mathcal{H}$ is separable ([Ki73]).

Theorem 2.2 *If $\mathcal{H}$ is an arbitrary infinite dimensional complex Hilbert space, then the following assertions hold for the representations $(\pi_\lambda)_{\lambda\in\mathcal{P}}$ of $\mathrm{U}_\infty(\mathcal{H})$.*

(i) *For $\lambda, \mu \in \mathcal{P}$ we have $\pi_\lambda \cong \pi_\mu$ if and only if $\mu \in \mathcal{W}\lambda$.*

(ii) *There exists a bijective map*

$$\mathcal{P}/\mathcal{W} \to \bigcup_{n,m\in\mathbb{N}} \mathrm{Part}(n) \times \mathrm{Part}(m), \quad [\lambda] \mapsto ((m_k^{\lambda_+})_{k\geq 1}, (m_k^{\lambda_-})_{k\geq 1})$$

where $m_k^\lambda := |\{j \in J \colon \lambda_j = k\}|$ *whenever* $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}$ *and* $k \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$.

(iii) *For every* $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}$ *we have* $\pi_\lambda \cong \pi_{\lambda_+} \otimes \pi_{\lambda_-}^*$.

Proof. (i) From (2) and the fact that

$$\mathcal{W}\lambda = \mathrm{Ext}(\mathrm{conv}(\mathcal{W}\lambda)) = \mathrm{Ext}(\mathrm{conv}(\mathcal{P}_\lambda)) \tag{3}$$

is the set of extreme points of $\mathrm{conv}(\mathcal{P}_\lambda)$ ([Ne98, proof of Thm. 1.20]), it follows that the equivalence class of the representation determines $\mathcal{W}\lambda$ because it determines its weight set.

(ii) It is easy to see that the Weyl group orbit of any $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}$ is uniquely determined by the numbers m_k^λ with $k \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$. The property $\mu \in \mathcal{W}\lambda$ is equivalent to $\mu_\pm \in \mathcal{W}\lambda_\pm$. For $\lambda \geq 0$, the number $n_\lambda := \sum_{j\in J} \lambda_j$ is an invariant of the Weyl group orbit and λ describes a partition of n_λ into $m_\lambda := \sum_k m_k$ summands. We thus get a one-to-one correspondence between partitions of $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and the set of Weyl group orbits in the set $\{\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}^{(J)} \colon \lambda \geq 0, n_\lambda = n\}$. This leads to the asserted bijection.

(iii) This was already noted in [Ol90, Subsect. 2.14] for separable Hilbert spaces; see also [Ne00, Prop. IX.1.15] for an algebraic version.

The present assertion can be obtained as follows. It is straightforward to check that the unitary representations π_λ and $\pi_{\lambda_+} \otimes \pi_{\lambda_-}^*$ have the same sets of weights and they are highest weight representations as in [Ne98, Def. III.6(c)]. Moreover, π_λ is an irreducible representation. Therefore, due to the fact that the irreducible highest weight representations are uniquely determined by their sets of weights (see [Ne98, Cor. I.15]), the assertion will follow as soon as we have proved that the unitary representation $\pi_{\lambda_+} \otimes \pi_{\lambda_-}^*$ is irreducible as well.

To this end, denote by Σ the family of all countable subsets of $S \subseteq J$ with $\mathrm{supp}\,\lambda \subset S$. For every $S \in \Sigma$ denote $\mathcal{H}_S = \ell^2(S, \mathbb{C}) \hookrightarrow \ell^2(J, \mathbb{C}) = \mathcal{H}$ and use the orthogonal decomposition $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_S \oplus \mathcal{H}_S^\perp$ to construct the natural embedding

$$\mathrm{GL}_\infty(\mathcal{H}_S) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{GL}_\infty(\mathcal{H}), \quad g \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} g & 0 \\ 0 & \mathbf{1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

It then follows that the directed union of subgroups $\bigcup_{S\in\Sigma} \mathrm{GL}_\infty(\mathcal{H}_S)$ is dense in the Banach–Lie group $\mathrm{GL}_\infty(\mathcal{H})$, since the closure of the range of any compact operator is a separable subspace. If $v_\pm \in \mathcal{H}_{\lambda_\pm}$ is a primitive vector for the highest weight representation $\pi_{\lambda_\pm}$, then [Ne00, Prop. IX.1.14] shows that $\pi_{\lambda_\pm,S} \colon \mathrm{GL}_\infty(\mathcal{H}_S) \to \mathrm{GL}(\mathcal{H}_{\lambda_\pm,S})$ is an irreducible highest weight representation of $\mathrm{GL}_\infty(\mathcal{H}_S)$ with highest weight $\lambda_\pm$, where $\mathcal{H}_{\lambda_\pm,S}$ stands for the closed linear subspace of $\mathcal{H}_{\lambda_\pm}$ generated by $\pi_{\lambda_\pm}(\mathrm{GL}_\infty(\mathcal{H}_S))v_{\lambda_\pm}$. Since $\mathcal{H}_S$ is a separable Hilbert space, it follows by the aforementioned remark of [Ol90, Subsect. 2.14] that the representation $\pi_{\lambda_+,S} \otimes \pi_{\lambda_-,S}^*$ of $\mathrm{GL}_\infty(\mathcal{H}_S)$ is irreducible for each $S \in \Sigma$. Then $\pi_{\lambda_+} \otimes \pi_{\lambda_-}^*$ is an irreducible representation of $\mathrm{GL}_\infty(\mathcal{H})$ by Proposition A.5, and this completes the proof, as discussed above. ∎

For any $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}$ let $I_\lambda \subseteq \mathfrak{u}_\infty(\mathcal{H})' \cong \mathrm{Herm}_1(\mathcal{H})$ denote the momentum set of π_λ. Then I_λ is a weak-$*$-closed bounded convex subset, hence weak-$*$-compact. This implies that the projection map

$$p_{\mathfrak{t}} \colon \mathfrak{u}_\infty(\mathcal{H})' \to \mathfrak{t}' \cong \ell^1(J, \mathbb{R}),$$

maps I_λ onto a weak-$*$-closed compact subset of $\mathfrak{t}$, which therefore coincides with the momentum set of the representation $\pi_\lambda|_T$ of the diagonal group (Proposition 1.5). Since this representation decomposes into weight spaces, (2) leads to

$$p_{\mathfrak{t}}(I_\lambda) = I_{\pi_\lambda}|_{\mathfrak{t}} = \overline{\mathrm{conv}}^{w^*}(\mathcal{W}\lambda) =: \mathrm{co}(\lambda). \tag{4}$$

Lemma 2.3 *If $\lambda, \mu \colon J \to \mathbb{R}$ are finitely supported with $\mu \notin \mathcal{W}\lambda$, then we have $\mathrm{co}(\lambda) \neq \mathrm{co}(\mu)$ for the weak-$*$-closed convex hulls of the Weyl group orbits in $\mathfrak{t}' \cong \ell^1(J, \mathbb{R})$.*

Proof. First we observe that $\lambda^{-1}(0) \cap \mu^{-1}(0)$ is cofinite in J, which implies that there exists a partial order $\leq$ on J for which $\lambda \colon J \to \mathbb{Z}$ is non-increasing and for μ the set $\{(i,j) \colon i < j, \mu_i < \mu_j\}$ of inversions is finite, so that $\mathcal{W}\mu$ contains a non-increasing element, and we may w.l.o.g. assume that μ is also non-increasing. Then

$$J = J_+ \dot\cup J_0 \dot\cup J_-, \quad J_+ < J_0 < J_-,$$

where λ and μ vanish on J_0, are ≥ 0 on the finite set J_+ and ≤ 0 on the finite set J_-.

As we have seen in the proof of Theorem 2.2, $\mu \notin \mathcal{W}\lambda$ implies that either $\mu_+ \notin \mathcal{W}\lambda_+$ or $\mu_- \notin \mathcal{W}\lambda_-$. We assume without loss of generality that $\mu_+ \notin \mathcal{W}\lambda_+$. Since $\mathcal{W}\lambda_+ = \mathrm{Ext}(\mathrm{conv}(\mathcal{W}\lambda_+))$ by (3), we then either have $\mu_+ \notin \mathrm{conv}(\mathcal{W}\lambda_+)$ or vice versa. We assume that $\mu_+ \notin \mathrm{conv}(\mathcal{W}\lambda_+)$. Then the Schur Convexity Theorem implies that there exists a minimal $j_0 \in J_+$ with

$$\sum_{j \leq j_0} \lambda(j) < \sum_{j \leq j_0} \mu(j).$$

Put $x_j = 1$ for $j \leq j_0$ and $x_j = 0$ otherwise and note that x has finite support and satisfies $\lambda(x) < \mu(x)$. For any other element $\lambda' \in \mathcal{W}\lambda$, the difference $\lambda - \lambda'$ is a sum of positive roots $\varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_j$, $i < j$, so that $x_i \geq x_j$ for $i < j$, implies that

$$\mu(x) > \lambda(x) \geq \lambda'(x)$$

(see Lemma B.1). We conclude that μ is not contained in the weak-$*$-closed convex hull of $\mathrm{conv}(\mathcal{W}\lambda)$ in $\ell^1(J, \mathbb{R})$. The other cases are treated similarly. ■

Proposition 2.4 *If $\lambda, \mu \in \mathcal{P}$ and $\pi_\lambda \not\cong \pi_\mu$, then the corresponding momentum sets I_λ and I_μ in $\mathfrak{u}_\infty(\mathcal{H})'$ are different.*

Proof. If π_λ and π_μ are not equivalent, then $\mu \notin \mathcal{W}\lambda$ (Theorem 2.2), so that the preceding proposition implies that the weak-$*$-closed convex hulls of $\mathcal{W}\lambda$ and $\mathcal{W}\mu$ in $\ell^1(J, \mathbb{R})$ are different. In view of (4), this leads to $p_{\mathfrak{t}}(I_\lambda) \neq p_{\mathfrak{t}}(I_\mu)$, which implies in particular that $I_\lambda \neq I_\mu$. ■

Realization in tensor products

To match the highest weight approach from [Ne98] with Kirillov's classification in terms of Schur–Weyl theory ([Ki73]), it suffices to explain how the representation π_λ with highest weight $\lambda \geq 0$ occurs in the decomposition of some tensor product $\mathcal{H}^{\otimes n}$ (cf. Theorem 2.2(iii)).

Let $n := n_\lambda = \sum_{j \in J} \lambda_j$ and suppose that $\lambda \in \mathrm{Part}(n, k)$. Then λ defines a Ferrers diagram (shape), containing λ_j boxes in the jth row (cf. [GW98, Sect. 8.1.2]). A tableau of shape λ is a bijective assignment of the integers $1, \ldots, n$ to the boxes of λ. Clearly, the group S_n acts simply transitively on the set $\mathrm{Tab}(\lambda)$ of all tableaux of shape λ.

We may w.l.o.g. assume that $\mathbb{N} \subseteq J$, so that we have orthonormal vectors $(e_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ in $\mathcal{H}$. For $T \in \mathrm{Tab}(\lambda)$ we set $i_j = r$ if j occurs in the rth row of T and define

$$e_T := e_{i_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{i_n} \in \mathcal{H}^{\otimes n}.$$

The Young symmetrizer $s(T) \in \mathbb{C}[S_n]$ is the product $s(T) = c(T)r(T)$, where

$$r(T) = \sum_{\sigma \in R_T} \sigma \quad \text{and} \quad c(T) = \sum_{\sigma \in C_T} \mathrm{sgn}(\sigma)\sigma,$$

and $C_T \cong \prod S_{\lambda_i} \subseteq S_n$ is the subgroup preserving the column partition of $\{1, \ldots, n\}$ and R_T is the subgroup preserving the row partition. Then $c(T)$ projects $\mathcal{H}^{\otimes n}$ onto the subspace

$$\Lambda^{\lambda_1}(\mathcal{H}) \otimes \cdots \otimes \Lambda^{\lambda_k}(\mathcal{H})$$

and from [GW98, Lemma 9.3.1] we derive that $0 \neq c(T)e_T$ is a vector of weight λ, fixed by the group N of upper triangular matrices in $\mathrm{GL}(\mathcal{H})$ with respect to any linear order on J for which $1, \ldots, k$ come first (so that $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_k)$ defines a dominant weight). Moreover,

$$M^\lambda := \sum_{T \in \mathrm{Tab}(\lambda)} \mathbb{C}c(T)e_T$$

is an S_n-submodule of $\mathcal{H}^{\otimes n}$ isomorphic to the multiplicity space of the highest weight representation $\widetilde{\pi}_\lambda^{\mathbb{C}}$ of $\mathrm{GL}(\mathcal{H})$ in $\mathcal{H}^{\otimes n}$ (cf. [GW98, Prop. 9.3.4, Thm. 9.3.9] and Appendix A).

Flag manifolds

Let $(e_j)_{j \in J}$ be an orthonormal basis in $\mathcal{H}$ and $\lambda \colon J \to \mathbb{Z}$ be finitely supported, so that

$$D_\lambda := \sum_{j \in J} \lambda_j \langle \cdot, e_j \rangle e_j$$

is a hermitian finite rank operator, hence in particular of trace class, so that we get a continuous linear functional

$$\psi_\lambda := \psi_{D_\lambda} \colon B(\mathcal{H}) \to \mathbb{C}, \quad \psi_\lambda(T) = -i\mathrm{Tr}\,(D_\lambda T). \tag{5}$$

If $\mathcal{H}_n := \ker(D_\lambda - n\mathbf{1})$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, denotes the eigenspaces of D_λ, then the assumptions on λ imply that only finitely many are non-zero and only $\mathcal{H}_0 = \ker D_\lambda$ is infinite dimensional.

We write

$$\mathcal{N}_\lambda := \Big\{X \in B(\mathcal{H}) \colon (\forall n \in \mathbb{Z})\, X\mathcal{H}_n \subseteq \sum_{m<n} \mathcal{H}_m\Big\}$$

for the subalgebra of $B(\mathcal{H})$ consisting of the operators which are block strictly upper-triangular with respect to the spectral scale of the self-adjoint operator D_λ and note that

$$\{D_\lambda\}' = \{X \in B(\mathcal{H}) \colon (\forall n \in \mathbb{Z})\, X\mathcal{H}_n \subseteq \mathcal{H}_n\}$$

is the commutant of $\{D_\lambda\}$. It is easily seen that we have a triple decomposition for $B(\mathcal{H})$ given by the direct sum decomposition

$$\mathcal{N}_\lambda \oplus \{D_\lambda\}' \oplus \mathcal{N}_\lambda^* = B(\mathcal{H}). \tag{6}$$

Let $N := \|\lambda\|_\infty$. Then the eigenspaces $\mathcal{H}_n$ of D_λ define the finite flag

$$\mathcal{F} = (F_{-N}, \ldots, F_N), \quad F_k := \sum_{n \leq k} \mathcal{H}_k$$

of closed subspace of $\mathcal{H}$. The space $\mathrm{Gr}_\mathcal{F} := \mathrm{GL}(\mathcal{H})\mathcal{F} \cong \mathrm{GL}(\mathcal{H})/P_\mathcal{F}$ is called the corresponding *flag manifold*. Here

$$P_\mathcal{F} := (\mathcal{N}_\lambda \oplus \{D_\lambda\}')^\times = \{g \in \mathrm{GL}(\mathcal{H}) \colon (\forall n) g F_n = F_n\}$$

is the stabilizer of $\mathcal{F}$. Since its Lie algebra $\mathfrak{p}_\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{N}_\lambda + \{D_\lambda\}'$ is complemented by the closed subspace $\mathcal{N}_\lambda^*$, $\mathrm{Gr}_\mathcal{F}$ carries the structure of a complex Banach manifold for which the quotient map $\mathrm{GL}(\mathcal{H}) \to \mathrm{Gr}_\mathcal{F}, g \mapsto g\mathcal{F}$ is a holomorphic submersion.

It is easy to see that a flag $\mathcal{F}' = (F'_{-N}, \ldots, F'_N)$ of closed subspaces of $\mathcal{H}$ is contained in $\mathrm{Gr}_\mathcal{F}$ if and only if

$$\dim F_n = \dim F'_n \quad \text{for} \quad n < 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \dim F_n^\perp = \dim F_n'^\perp \quad \text{for} \quad n \geq 0$$

and hence that the subgroup $\mathrm{U}_\infty(\mathcal{H}) \subseteq \mathrm{U}(\mathcal{H})$ act transitively on $\mathrm{Gr}_\mathcal{F}$.

The stabilizer of $\mathcal{F}$ in $\mathrm{U}(\mathcal{H})$ is

$$\mathrm{U}(\mathcal{H})_\mathcal{F} = \mathrm{U}(\mathcal{H})_\lambda := \mathrm{U}(\mathcal{H}) \cap \{D_\lambda\}' = \{u \in \mathrm{U}(\mathcal{H}) \colon (\forall n)\, u\mathcal{H}_n = \mathcal{H}_n\}, \tag{7}$$

so that $\mathrm{Gr}_\mathcal{F} \cong \mathrm{U}(\mathcal{H})/\mathrm{U}(\mathcal{H})_\lambda$ is a Banach homogeneous space of $\mathrm{U}(\mathcal{H})$ (and similary of $\mathrm{U}_\infty(\mathcal{H})$).

Now let $\widetilde{\pi}_\lambda^\mathbb{C} \colon \mathrm{GL}(\mathcal{H}) \to B(\mathcal{H}_\lambda)$ be the canonical extension of the highest weight representation corresponding to λ as in [Ne98, Cor. III.11 and Thm. III.15] and pick a unit vector $v_\lambda \in \mathcal{H}_\lambda$ of weight λ.

In the following theorem, we shall use the realization of a Hilbert space by holomorphic sections of a line bundle:

Remark 2.5 If $\mathcal{H}$ is a complex Hilbert space, then its projective space $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H})$ is a complex Hilbert manifold. Moreover, there exists a holomorphic line bundle $q\colon \mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{H}} \to \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H})$ with the property that for every non-zero continuous linear functional $\alpha \in \mathcal{H}^*$ we have on the open subset $U_\alpha := \{[v] \in \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H})\colon \alpha(v) \neq 0\}$ a bundle chart

$$\varphi_\alpha\colon (\mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{H}})|_{U_\alpha} \to U_\alpha \times \mathbb{C}$$

such that the transition functions are given by

$$\varphi_\beta \circ \varphi_\alpha^{-1}([v], z) = \Big([v], \frac{\alpha(v)}{\beta(v)}\Big) \quad \text{for} \quad 0 \neq \alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{H}^*.$$

This implies that each $0 \neq v \in \mathcal{H}$ defines a linear functional on the fiber $(\mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{H}})_{[v]}$ by

$$\varphi_\alpha^{-1}([v], z) \mapsto \alpha(v)z,$$

which further implies that $(\mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{H}})^*_{[v]} = [v]$, i.e., $\mathbb{L}^*_{\mathcal{H}}$ is the tautological bundle over $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H})$.

The complement of the zero-section of $\mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{H}}$ is equivalent, as a $\mathbb{C}^\times$-bundle, to the projection $\mathcal{H} \setminus \{0\} \to \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H})$ by the map $\varphi_\alpha([v], z) \mapsto \frac{1}{z\alpha(v)} v$. This identification can be used to show that the natural map

$$\Psi\colon \mathcal{H}^* \to \Gamma(\mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{H}}), \quad \Psi(\alpha)([v]) = \varphi_\beta^{-1}\Big([v], \frac{\alpha(v)}{\beta(v)}\Big) \quad \text{for} \quad \beta(v) \neq 0$$

defines a linear isomorphism (see [Ne01, Thm. V.4] for details).

As the group $\mathrm{U}(\mathcal{H})$ acts smoothly by holomorphic bundle isomorphisms on $\mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{H}}$, this construction shows that the unitary representation $\pi^*\colon \mathrm{U}(\mathcal{H}) \to \mathrm{U}(\mathcal{H}^*)$, given by $\pi^*(g)\alpha = \alpha \circ \pi(g)^*$ can be realized in the space $\Gamma(\mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{H}})$ of holomorphic sections of $\mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{H}}$.

To realize the identical representation on $\mathcal{H}$ itself by holomorphic sections, we simply exchange the role of $\mathcal{H}$ and $\mathcal{H}^*$, which leads to a $\mathrm{U}(\mathcal{H})$-equivariant isomorphism $\mathcal{H} \to \Gamma(\mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{H}^*})$.

We now establish a partial generalization of [Ne10, Prop. 7.2].

Theorem 2.6 *The following assertions hold:*

(i) *For every $X \in \mathfrak{p}^*_{\mathcal{F}} = \mathcal{N}^*_\lambda \oplus \{D_\lambda\}'$ we have*

$$\mathtt{d}\widetilde{\pi}^{\mathbb{C}}_\lambda(X)v_\lambda = i\psi_\lambda(X)v_\lambda \quad \textit{with} \quad \psi_\lambda(X) = 0 \quad \textit{for} \quad X \in \mathcal{N}^*_\lambda, \tag{8}$$

and $\langle \mathtt{d}\widetilde{\pi}^{\mathbb{C}}_\lambda(X)v_\lambda, v_\lambda\rangle = 0$ for $X \in \mathcal{N}_\lambda$.

(ii) *For $\alpha_\lambda(w) := \langle w, v_\lambda\rangle$, we obtain a holomorphic equivariant map*

$$\eta\colon \mathrm{U}(\mathcal{H})/\mathrm{U}(\mathcal{H})_\lambda \cong \mathrm{GL}(\mathcal{H})/P_\lambda \cong \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathcal{F}} \to \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H}^*_\lambda), \quad u\mathcal{F} \mapsto [\widetilde{\pi}^*_\lambda(u)\alpha_\lambda]$$

and if $\mathbb{L}_\lambda := \eta^*\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{H}^*_\lambda}$ is the pullback of the canonical line bundle on $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H}^*_\lambda)$ with $\Gamma_{\mathrm{hol}}(\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{H}^*_\lambda}) \cong \mathcal{H}_\lambda$, then $\mathcal{L}_\lambda$ is $\mathrm{U}(\mathcal{H})$-equivariant and we obtain a realization of $\mathcal{H}_\lambda$ as a Hilbert space of holomorphic sections of $\mathbb{L}_\lambda$.

(iii) *The coadjoint* $\mathrm{U}(\mathcal{H})$*-orbit* $\mathcal{O}_{\psi_\lambda}$ *of* $\psi_\lambda|_{\mathfrak{u}(\mathcal{H})}$ *is a homogeneous Banach manifold isomorphic to* $\mathrm{Gr}_{\mathcal{F}}$ *with a* $\mathrm{U}(\mathcal{H})$*-invariant strong Kähler structure, and we have*

$$\Phi_{\widetilde{\pi}_\lambda}([v_\lambda]) = \psi_\lambda|_{\mathfrak{u}(\mathcal{H})} \quad \textit{and} \quad I_{\widetilde{\pi}_\lambda} = \overline{\mathrm{conv}}^{w^*}\big(\mathcal{O}_{\psi_\lambda}\big).$$

Proof. (i) For $j, k \in J$, the operators $E_{jk} := \langle \cdot, e_k\rangle e_j$ are eigenvectors for the adjoint action of the diagonal subgroup $T \subseteq \mathrm{U}_\infty(\mathcal{H})$ introduced above. The corresponding characters $t \mapsto t_j t_k^{-1}$ are pairwise different, so that the set of T-finite elements of $\mathfrak{gl}(\mathcal{H})$ is the Lie subalgebra

$$\mathfrak{gl}(J, \mathbb{C}) := \mathrm{span}\{E_{jk} \colon j, k \in J\}.$$

Let $\leq$ denote a linear order on J for which λ is non-increasing and write $\Delta^+ := \{\varepsilon_j - \varepsilon_k \colon j < k\}$ for the corresponding positive system. Then E_{jk}, $j < k$, are positive root vectors and $v_\lambda \in \mathcal{H}_\lambda$ is a highest weight vector for $\mathfrak{gl}(J, \mathbb{C})$ with respect to Δ^+ (cf. [Ne98, Prop. II.1]). Hence $\mathtt{d}\widetilde{\pi}_\lambda^{\mathbb{C}}(E_{jk})v_\lambda = 0$ for $j < k$ and $\mathtt{d}\widetilde{\pi}_\lambda^{\mathbb{C}}(E_{jj})v_\lambda = \lambda_j v_\lambda$.

The operator E_{jk} is contained in $\mathfrak{p}_{\mathcal{F}}^*$ if and only if $\lambda_j \geq \lambda_k$, which implies $j \leq k$. Hence the preceding observations prove (i) for $X \in \mathfrak{gl}(J, \mathbb{C}) \cap \mathfrak{p}_{\mathcal{F}}^*$. The general case now follows from the fact that both sides of (8) are continuous with respect to the strong operator topology on $\mathfrak{gl}(\mathcal{H})$ with respect to which $\mathfrak{gl}(J, \mathbb{C})$ is dense in $\mathfrak{gl}(\mathcal{H})$. Actually $X = \sum_{j,k \in J} \langle X e_k, e_j\rangle E_{jk}$ converges strongly.

For $X \in \mathcal{N}_\lambda$ we finally obtain $\langle \mathtt{d}\widetilde{\pi}_\lambda^{\mathbb{C}}(X)v_\lambda, v_\lambda\rangle = \langle v_\lambda, \mathtt{d}\widetilde{\pi}_\lambda^{\mathbb{C}}(X^*)v_\lambda\rangle = 0$.

(ii) Since the subgroup $P_\lambda \subseteq \mathrm{GL}(\mathcal{H})$ is connected, (i) implies that v_λ is an eigenvector for the group

$$P_\lambda^* := \{g^* \colon g \in P_\lambda\}$$

with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{p}_{\mathcal{F}} = \mathcal{N}_\lambda \oplus \{D_\lambda\}'$. Therefore (ii) follows from [Ne10, Thm. 5.11].

(iii) For every $X \in \mathfrak{u}(\mathcal{H})$ we have $\Phi_{\widetilde{\pi}_\lambda}([v_\lambda])(X) = -i\langle \mathtt{d}\widetilde{\pi}_\lambda(X)v_\lambda, v_\lambda\rangle$. The equality $\Phi_{\widetilde{\pi}_\lambda}([v_\lambda]) = \psi_\lambda|_{\mathfrak{u}(\mathcal{H})}$ follows by (i) and $\mathcal{N}_\lambda \oplus \{D_\lambda\}' \oplus \mathcal{N}_\lambda^* = B(\mathcal{H})$.

In view of (ii), the equality $I_{\widetilde{\pi}_\lambda} = \overline{\mathrm{conv}}^{w^*}(\mathcal{O}_{\psi_\lambda})$ now follows from [Ne10, Thm. 5.11(c)].

As the momentum map Φ_{π_λ} is $\mathrm{U}(\mathcal{H})$-equivariant and the stabilizer of ψ_λ is $\mathrm{U}(\mathcal{H})_\lambda$, the stabilizer of $[v_\lambda]$, resp., $[\alpha_\lambda]$ in $\mathrm{U}(\mathcal{H})$ coincides with $\mathrm{U}(\mathcal{H})_\lambda$, so that $\mathrm{U}(\mathcal{H})[v_\lambda] \cong \mathrm{U}(\mathcal{H})/\mathrm{U}(\mathcal{H})_\lambda \cong \mathcal{O}_{\psi_\lambda}$.

It remains to show that the complex structure on $\mathcal{O}_{\psi_\lambda}$, together with the canonical symplectic form, defines a strong Kähler structure, i.e., that the corresponding quadratic form on $T_{\psi_\lambda}(\mathcal{O}_{\psi_\lambda})$ is positive definite and defines a complete metric. For the complex structure I on $T_{\psi_\lambda}(\mathcal{O}_{\psi_\lambda}) \cong \mathcal{N}_\lambda^*$ we obtain for $X = Z - Z^*$, $Z = \sum_{\lambda_j > \lambda_k} z_{jk} E_{jk} \in \mathcal{N}_\lambda^*$:

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_\lambda([X, IX]) &= \psi_\lambda([Z - Z^*, i(Z + Z^*)]) = 2i\psi_\lambda([Z, Z^*]) = 2\,\mathrm{Tr}(D_\lambda[Z, Z^*]) \\ &= 2\sum_{\lambda_j > \lambda_k} |z_{jk}|^2\, \mathrm{Tr}(D_\lambda[E_{jk}, E_{kj}]) = 2\sum_{\lambda_j > \lambda_k} |z_{jk}|^2 (\lambda_j - \lambda_k) \\ &\geq 2\sum_{\lambda_j > \lambda_k} |z_{jk}|^2 \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that $T_{\psi_\lambda}(\mathcal{O}_{\psi_\lambda})$ is complete with respect to the Hilbert norm $\Psi_\lambda([X, IX])^{1/2}$, and this means that the Kähler structure on $\mathcal{O}_{\psi_\lambda}$ is strong. ■

In connection with Theorem 2.6, we note that a different description of the geometric realization can be obtained by the approach of [BG08] that uses reproducing kernels on line bundles.

Remark 2.7 Let $I^2_\lambda \subseteq \mathfrak{u}_2(\mathcal{H})' \cong \mathfrak{u}_2(\mathcal{H})$ denote the closure of $I^{\mathbf{n}}_\lambda$ with respect to the Hilbert–Schmidt norm. Then I^2_λ is a closed convex $\mathrm{U}(\mathcal{H})$-invariant subset of the Hilbert space $\mathfrak{u}_2(\mathcal{H})'$ containing $I^{\mathbf{n}}_\lambda$. Since $\mathrm{U}(\mathcal{H})$ acts by isometries of the Hilbert–Schmidt norm and closed balls in a Hilbert space are strictly convex, the orbit $\mathcal{O}_{\psi_\lambda}$ is contained in the set of extreme points of I^2_λ, and this leads immediately to

$$\mathcal{O}_{\psi_\lambda} \subseteq \mathrm{Ext}(I^{\mathbf{n}}_\lambda).$$

The same argument even implies that $\mathcal{O}_{\psi_\lambda}$ consists of exposed points of $I^{\mathbf{n}}_\lambda$ because each element of the orbit defines a norm continuous linear functional on $\mathfrak{u}_\infty(\mathcal{H})'$.

On any bounded subset of $\mathfrak{u}_2(\mathcal{H})'$, the weak-$*$-topology coincides with the weak-$*$-topology defined by the space $F(\mathcal{H}) \cap \mathfrak{u}(\mathcal{H})$ of skew-hermitian finite rank operators. Hence the weak-$*$-closure I_λ of $I^{\mathbf{n}}_\lambda$ in $\mathfrak{u}_2(\mathcal{H})'$ is also contained in I^2_λ, and we obtain with the same argument as above the stronger assertion

$$\mathcal{O}_{\psi_\lambda} \subseteq \mathrm{Ext}(I_\lambda).$$

If $\mu \in I_\lambda \subseteq I^2_\lambda$ satisfies $p_{\mathfrak{t}}(\mu) = \lambda$, then the fact that the projection onto diagonal operators is orthogonal in $\mathfrak{u}_2(\mathcal{H})'$ implies that $\|\mu\|_2 > \|\lambda\|_2$ whenever $\mu \neq \lambda$. On the other hand $\|\mu\|_2 \leq \|\lambda\|_2$ for every $\mu \in I^2_\lambda$, so that

$$p_{\mathfrak{t}}^{-1}(\psi_\lambda) \cap I_\lambda = \{\psi_\lambda\}.$$

Problem 2.8 (a) Does the group $G = \mathrm{U}_\infty(\mathcal{H})$ act transitively on the subset $\Phi_{\pi_\lambda}^{-1}(\mathrm{Ext}(I_\lambda))$ of $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H}_\lambda)$?

(b) Does $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H}_\lambda)$ contain a unique complex G-orbit? This property is satisfied for irreducible representations of compact groups (cf. [Ne00, Ch. XV]). Here Corollary 1.8 may be helpful. A natural first step may be to reduce the problem to T-eigenvectors by observing that every $\mathrm{U}(\mathcal{H})$-orbit in $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H}_\lambda)$ contains an element which is mapped into $\mathfrak{t}'$ by the momentum map.

Remark 2.9 (a) For $X \in \mathrm{Herm}_1(\mathcal{H})$ we write $\Lambda^k(X)$ for the corresponding operator on $\Lambda^k(\mathcal{H})$, considered as a representation of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{S}_1(\mathcal{H})$ (cf. [Ne98]). If $X = \sum_j x_j E_{jj}$ is diagonal, then

$$s_k(X) := \operatorname{supp} \operatorname{Spec}(\Lambda^k(X)) = L_k((x_j)),$$

so that the weak-$*$ lower semicontinuous convex function s_k on $\mathrm{Herm}_1(\mathcal{H})$ is the unique $\mathrm{U}(\mathcal{H})$-invariant functional whose restriction to the diagonal is given by L_k.

Since the momentum set I_λ is invariant under conjugation with $\mathrm{U}(\mathcal{H})$, it is determined by the diagonal operators it contains. We know already that

$$p_{\mathfrak{t}}(I_\lambda) = \mathrm{co}(\lambda) = I_{\pi_\lambda|_T},$$

the fact that $\lambda \in \mathfrak{t}'$ entails that $I_\lambda \cap \mathfrak{t} \supseteq \mathrm{co}(\lambda)$. We therefore obtain with Lemma B.2(i)

$$iI_\lambda = \{X \in \mathrm{Herm}_1(\mathcal{H})\colon (\forall k)\, s_k(X) \leq s_k(D_\lambda), s_k(-X) \leq s_k(-D_\lambda)\}.$$

(b) For the norm-closed momentum set, we obtain the additional necessary condition $\mathrm{tr}(X) = \mathrm{tr}(D_\lambda) = \sum_j \lambda_j$. Since $p_{\mathfrak{t}}$ is a contraction with respect to the trace norm (it is the fixed point projection for the action of the compact group $\mathbb{T}^J$ of diagonal operators by conjugation), we find that

$$p_{\mathfrak{t}}(I_\lambda^{\mathbf{n}}) \subseteq I_{\pi_\lambda|_T}^{\mathbf{n}}.$$

If a unit vector $v \in \mathcal{H}_\lambda$ is written as a sum $v = \sum_\alpha v_\alpha$ of T-eigenvectors, we obtain

$$\Phi_{\pi_\lambda|_T}([v]) = \sum_\alpha \|v_\alpha\|^2 \Phi_{\pi_\lambda|_T}([v_\alpha]) = -i \sum_\alpha \|v_\alpha\|^2 \alpha.$$

Since the weight set $\mathcal{P}_\lambda$ is norm bounded, this series converges in norm, which leads to

$$I_{\pi_\lambda|_T}^{\mathbf{n}} = -i\, \mathrm{co}^{\mathbf{n}}(\lambda).$$

Taking into account that the right hand side is contained in $I_\lambda^{\mathbf{n}}$, we obtain the equalities

$$p_{\mathfrak{t}}(I_\lambda^{\mathbf{n}}) = I_{\pi_\lambda|_T}^{\mathbf{n}} = -i\, \mathrm{co}^{\mathbf{n}}(\lambda) = I_\lambda^{\mathbf{n}} \cap \mathfrak{t}.$$

With Lemma B.2(ii) we now see that

$$iI_\lambda^{\mathbf{n}} = \{X \in \mathrm{Herm}_1(\mathcal{H})\colon (\forall k)\, s_k(\pm X) \leq s_k(\pm D_\lambda), \mathrm{tr}(X) = \mathrm{tr}(D_\lambda)\}$$

because both sets have the same intersection with $\mathfrak{t}' \cong \ell^1(J, \mathbb{R})$.

Remark 2.10 In the same spirit, one finds an explicit description of the corresponding support functional

$$s_\lambda(X) := \sup(-i\mathtt{d}\pi_\lambda(X)) = \sup\langle I_\lambda, X\rangle.$$

These are invariant continuous, positively homogeneous convex functions, hence in particular determined by their values on diagonal operators, where one should try to find a more explicit formula. For the fundamental representation on $\Lambda^k(\mathcal{H})$ we have $s_\lambda = s_k$.

Let $\leq$ be a linear order on J for which λ is non-increasing. If $X = \sum_j x_j E_{jj}$ is diagonal of finite rank, then X is $\mathcal{W}$-conjugate to an element representing a non-increasing function $J \to \mathbb{R}, j \mapsto x_j$. Then the relations

$$\mathcal{W}\lambda \subseteq \lambda - C_\lambda \quad \text{and} \quad \langle C_\lambda, X\rangle \geq 0$$

imply that

$$\lambda(X) = \sup\langle \mathcal{P}_\lambda, X\rangle = s_\lambda(X).$$

Using the weak continuity of s_λ, this formula provides a constructive way to calculate s_λ on every $X \in \mathfrak{u}(\mathcal{H})$ via approximation by finite rank operators.

3 From $\mathrm{U}_\infty(\mathcal{H})$ to C^*-algebras

In this section we describe the classes of unitary irreducible representations for the unitary groups of any unital C^*-algebra which occur in the Schur–Weyl decompositions of the tensor product representations (see Definition 3.1) and investigate their momentum sets. The information we obtain in Theorem 3.5 on momentum sets is sufficiently rich to allow us to conclude that these irreducible representations can be distinguished from each other by using their norm-closed momentum sets. Another important feature is that the extreme points of any of these momentum sets is a coadjoint orbit corresponding to the highest weight, just as in the case of finite dimensional Lie groups (see [Ne00] and [Wi92]).

Throughout this section, $\mathcal{A}$ denotes a unital C^*-algebra and $(\pi, \mathcal{H})$ is an irreducible $*$-representation of $\mathcal{A}$.

Definition 3.1 For $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}$, we write $(\widetilde{\pi}_\lambda, \mathcal{H}_\lambda)$ for the unique unitary representation of $\mathrm{U}(\mathcal{H})$ extending the representation π_λ of $\mathrm{U}_\infty(\mathcal{H})$ ([Ne98, Cor. III.11 and Thm. III.15]). This leads to a unitary representation

$$\pi_\lambda^{\mathcal{A}} := \widetilde{\pi}_\lambda \circ \pi|_{\mathrm{U}(\mathcal{A})} \colon \mathrm{U}(\mathcal{A}) \to \mathrm{U}(\mathcal{H}_\lambda).$$

From [Dix64, Thm. 2.8.3(iii)] we obtain:

Proposition 3.2 *For each finite dimensional subspace $F \subseteq \mathcal{H}$ and each unitary operator $u \in \mathrm{U}(F)$, there exists a $g \in \mathrm{U}(\mathcal{A})$ with $\pi(g)|_F = u$. In particular, $\pi(g)(F) \subseteq F$.*

Corollary 3.3 *The group $\pi(\mathrm{U}(\mathcal{A}))$ is strongly dense in $\mathrm{U}(\mathcal{H})$.*

Theorem 3.4 *For $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}$, the representation $\pi_\lambda^{\mathcal{A}}$ of $\mathrm{U}(\mathcal{A})$ is irreducible and two such representations $\pi_\lambda^{\mathcal{A}}$ and $\pi_\mu^{\mathcal{A}}$ are equivalent if and only if $\mu \in \mathcal{W}\lambda$.*

Proof. Recall $n_\lambda = \sum_{j \in J} \lambda_j$. Since the representation of $\mathrm{U}(\mathcal{H})$ on

$$\mathcal{H}^{\otimes n_{\lambda_+}} \otimes (\mathcal{H}^*)^{\otimes n_{\lambda_-}} \supseteq \mathcal{H}_\lambda$$

(Theorem 2.2) is continuous with respect to the strong operator topology on $\mathrm{U}(\mathcal{H})$, the subgroup $\pi_\lambda^{\mathcal{A}}(\mathrm{U}(\mathcal{A}))$ is strongly dense in $\pi_\lambda(\mathrm{U}(\mathcal{H}))$ (Corollary 3.3), hence has the same commutant. Therefore $(\pi_\lambda^{\mathcal{A}}, \mathcal{H}_\lambda)$ is irreducible.

The same argument implies that the representations π_λ and π_μ of $\mathrm{U}(\mathcal{H})$ and the corresponding representations $\pi_\lambda^{\mathcal{A}}$ and $\pi_\mu^{\mathcal{A}}$ of $\mathrm{U}(\mathcal{A})$ define the same set $B_{\mathrm{U}(\mathcal{A})}(\mathcal{H}_\lambda, \mathcal{H}_\mu) = B_{\mathrm{U}(\mathcal{H})}(\mathcal{H}_\lambda, \mathcal{H}_\mu)$ of intertwining operators. We conclude that $\pi_\lambda^{\mathcal{A}} \cong \pi_\mu^{\mathcal{A}}$ is equivalent to $\pi_\lambda \cong \pi_\mu$, which corresponds to $\mu \in \mathcal{W}\lambda$ (Theorem 2.2). ■

The main point of the following theorem is that the representations $\pi_\lambda^{\mathcal{A}}$ can be distinguished from each other by their norm-closed momentum sets.

Theorem 3.5 *Let $(\pi, \mathcal{H})$ be an irreducible representation of the unital C^*-algebra $\mathcal{A}$ on $\mathcal{H} \cong \ell^2(J, \mathbb{C})$ and $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}$. Then the following assertions hold for the unitary representation $\pi_\lambda^{\mathcal{A}}$ of $\mathrm{U}(\mathcal{A})$:*

(i) *The unitary subgroup $\pi(\mathrm{U}(\mathcal{A}))$ of $\mathrm{U}(\mathcal{H})$ acts transitively on the coadjoint orbit $\mathcal{O}_{\psi_\lambda}$. Let $\psi_\lambda^{\mathcal{A}} := \psi_\lambda \circ \pi$ and write $\mathcal{O}_{\psi_\lambda^{\mathcal{A}}}$ for the coadjoint $\mathrm{U}(\mathcal{A})$-orbit of $\psi_\lambda^{\mathcal{A}}|_{\mathfrak{u}(\mathcal{A})}$. Then the momentum set of $\pi_\lambda^{\mathcal{A}}$ is given by*

$$\Phi_{\pi_\lambda^{\mathcal{A}}}([v_\lambda]) = \psi_\lambda^{\mathcal{A}}|_{\mathfrak{u}(\mathcal{A})} \quad \text{and} \quad I_{\pi_\lambda^{\mathcal{A}}} = \overline{\mathrm{conv}}^{w^*}\big(\mathcal{O}_{\psi_\lambda^{\mathcal{A}}}\big).$$

(ii) *The coadjoint orbit $\mathcal{O}_{\psi_\lambda^{\mathcal{A}}}$ is norm closed in $\mathfrak{u}(\mathcal{A})'$ and is contained in the sphere centered at 0 with radius $\|\lambda\|_1$. Moreover we have*

$$\mathcal{O}_{\psi_\lambda^{\mathcal{A}}} = I_{\pi_\lambda^{\mathcal{A}}}^{\mathbf{n}} \cap \mathrm{Ext}(I_{\pi_\lambda^{\mathcal{A}}}) = \mathrm{Ext}(I_{\pi_\lambda^{\mathcal{A}}}^{\mathbf{n}}). \tag{9}$$

(iii) *For two such representations $\pi_\lambda^{\mathcal{A}}$ and $\pi_\mu^{\mathcal{A}}$, we have*

$$I_{\pi_\lambda}^{\mathbf{n}} = I_{\pi_\mu}^{\mathbf{n}} \iff \mathcal{O}_{\psi_\lambda^{\mathcal{A}}} = \mathcal{O}_{\psi_\mu^{\mathcal{A}}} \iff \mathcal{W}\lambda = \mathcal{W}\mu \iff \pi_\lambda^{\mathcal{A}} \simeq \pi_\mu^{\mathcal{A}}.$$

Proof. (i) In the notation of the proof of Theorem 2.6, the coadjoint orbit $\mathcal{O}_{\psi_\lambda} \cong \mathrm{U}(\mathcal{H})/\mathrm{U}(\mathcal{H})_\lambda$ can be identified with the flag manifold $\mathrm{Gr}_{\mathcal{F}}$. It therefore suffices to show that $\pi(\mathrm{U}(\mathcal{A}))$ acts transitively on $\mathrm{Gr}_{\mathcal{F}}$. An application of Proposition 3.2 (see also [GK60, Thm. 1]) shows that for $u \in \mathrm{U}(\mathcal{H})$ there exists $g \in \pi(\mathrm{U}(\mathcal{A}))$ such that $g = u$ on the finite dimensional subspaces

$$F_{-N} \subseteq \cdots \subseteq F_{-1}, \quad F_0^\perp \supseteq F_1^\perp \supseteq \ldots \supseteq F_N^\perp.$$

Since both g and u are unitary operators, it then follows that $g\mathcal{F} = u\mathcal{F}$.

To prove the second part of the assertion, we first note that the adjoint map $(\pi|_{\mathfrak{u}(\mathcal{A})})' \colon \mathfrak{u}(\mathcal{H})' \to \mathfrak{u}(\mathcal{A})'$ satisfies $(\pi|_{\mathfrak{u}(\mathcal{A})})' I_{\widetilde{\pi}_\lambda} = I_{\pi_\lambda^{\mathcal{A}}}$ (Proposition 1.5). It then follows by Theorem 2.6(ii) that

$$I_{\pi_\lambda^{\mathcal{A}}} = (\pi|_{\mathfrak{u}(\mathcal{A})})' \overline{\mathrm{conv}}^{w^*}\big(\mathcal{O}_{\psi_\lambda}\big) \subseteq \overline{\mathrm{conv}}^{w^*}\big((\pi|_{\mathfrak{u}(\mathcal{A})})'(\mathcal{O}_{\psi_\lambda})\big). \tag{10}$$

On the other hand, we have seen above that $\pi(\mathrm{U}(\mathcal{A}))$ acts transitively on $\mathcal{O}_{\psi_\lambda}$, and then it is straightforward to check that $(\pi|_{\mathfrak{u}(\mathcal{A})})' \mathcal{O}_{\psi_\lambda} = \mathcal{O}_{\psi_\lambda^{\mathcal{A}}}$. Therefore $I_{\pi_\lambda^{\mathcal{A}}} \subseteq \overline{\mathrm{conv}}^{w^*}\big(\mathcal{O}_{\psi_\lambda^{\mathcal{A}}}\big)$.

For the converse inclusion note that the equality $\pi_\lambda^{\mathcal{A}} = \widetilde{\pi}_\lambda \circ \pi|_{\mathrm{U}(\mathcal{A})}$ implies $\Phi_{\pi_\lambda^{\mathcal{A}}} = (\pi|_{\mathfrak{u}(\mathcal{A})})' \circ \Phi_{\widetilde{\pi}_\lambda}$. Since (i) ensures that $\Phi_{\widetilde{\pi}_\lambda}([v_\lambda]) = \psi_\lambda|_{\mathfrak{u}(\mathcal{H})}$, it then follows that $\Phi_{\pi_\lambda^{\mathcal{A}}}([v_\lambda]) = \psi_\lambda^{\mathcal{A}}|_{\mathfrak{u}(\mathcal{A})}$. Now, by using the $\mathrm{U}(\mathcal{A})$-equivariance property of the momentum map $\Phi_{\pi_\lambda^{\mathcal{A}}}$, we get the converse inequality $I_{\pi_\lambda^{\mathcal{A}}} \supseteq \overline{\mathrm{conv}}^{w^*}(\mathcal{O}_{\psi_\lambda^{\mathcal{A}}})$, and this proves (i).

(ii) The natural isometric isomorphism $\mathfrak{u}(\mathcal{H})_* \simeq \mathrm{Herm}_1(\mathcal{H})$ takes $\mathcal{O}_{\psi_\lambda}$ onto the unitary equivalence $\mathrm{U}(\mathcal{H})$-orbit $\mathcal{O}_{D_\lambda}$ of the finite-rank operator D_λ. Since D_λ is a self-adjoint finite-rank operator, it generates a finite dimensional C^*-algebra, and then it is well known that $\mathcal{O}_{D_\lambda}$ is norm-closed in $B(\mathcal{H})$; see for instance [Vo76, Prop. 2.4]. Then $\mathcal{O}_{D_\lambda}$ is in particular a closed subset of the Banach space $\mathrm{Herm}_1(\mathcal{H})$. (In the case $\lambda \geq 0$, this was also noted in [AK06, Prop. 3.1(iv)].) Also note that $\mathcal{O}_{D_\lambda}$ coincides with the unitary equivalence $\mathrm{U}(\mathcal{A})$-orbit of the finite-rank operator D_λ by the transitivity theorem [GK60, Thm. 1].

Now apply Proposition 1.12 for $\mathcal{M} = B(\mathcal{H})$ and the normal representation $\widetilde{\pi}_\lambda \colon \mathrm{U}(\mathcal{H}) \to \mathrm{U}(\mathcal{H}_\lambda)$. It then follows that the $\mathrm{U}(\mathcal{A})$-orbit $\mathcal{O}_{\psi^{\mathcal{A}}_\lambda}$ is the image of the norm-closed set $\mathcal{O}_{D_\lambda}$ by an isometric isomorphism $R_{\mathfrak{u}(\mathcal{A})} \colon \mathfrak{u}(\mathcal{M})_* \to \mathfrak{u}(\mathcal{A})^*$, and $\mathfrak{u}(\mathcal{A})^*$ is a closed linear subspace of the topological dual $\mathfrak{u}(\mathcal{A})'$. Therefore $\mathcal{O}_{\psi^{\mathcal{A}}_\lambda}$ is norm closed in $\mathfrak{u}(\mathcal{A})'$. The assertion on the sphere containing $\mathcal{O}_{\psi^{\mathcal{A}}_\lambda}$ follows since the aforementioned isometric isomorphism takes $\psi^{\mathcal{A}}_\lambda$ to D_λ and $\|D_\lambda\|_1 = \|\lambda\|_1$.

In order to prove (9), note that, due to Proposition 1.12 and to the isometric isomorphism used above, it actually suffices to show that

$$\mathcal{O}_{D_\lambda} = I^{\mathbf{n}}_\lambda \cap \mathrm{Ext}(I_\lambda) = \mathrm{Ext}(I^{\mathbf{n}}_\lambda). \tag{11}$$

where we use the notation $I_\lambda = I_{\pi_\lambda}$ and $I^{\mathbf{n}}_\lambda = I^{\mathbf{n}}_{\pi_\lambda} = I^{\mathbf{n}}_{\widetilde{\pi}_\lambda} \subset -i\,\mathrm{Herm}_1(\mathcal{H})$ as in Remark 2.9. The inclusion $\mathcal{O}_{D_\lambda} \subseteq I^{\mathbf{n}}_\lambda \cap \mathrm{Ext}(I_\lambda)$ follows by Remark 2.7 and it is clear that $I^{\mathbf{n}}_\lambda \cap \mathrm{Ext}(I_\lambda) \subseteq \mathrm{Ext}(I^{\mathbf{n}}_\lambda)$. To prove that $\mathrm{Ext}(I^{\mathbf{n}}_\lambda) \subseteq \mathcal{O}_{D_\lambda}$, let $X \in \mathrm{Ext}(I^{\mathbf{n}}_\lambda)$ arbitrary. Since the set $\mathrm{Ext}(I^{\mathbf{n}}_\lambda)$ is naturally acted on by the full unitary group $\mathrm{U}(\mathcal{H})$ and $X \in \mathrm{Herm}_1(\mathcal{H})$, we may assume that $X \in I^{\mathbf{n}}_\lambda \cap \mathfrak{t}$, hence $X \in \mathrm{Ext}(I^{\mathbf{n}}_\lambda \cap \mathfrak{t})$. Then Remark 2.9 shows that $iX \in \mathrm{Ext}(\mathrm{co}^{\mathbf{n}}(\lambda))$, and now $X \in \mathcal{O}_{D_\lambda}$ as a consequence of Proposition B.7.

(iii) We know from Theorem 3.4 that the representations $\pi^{\mathcal{A}}_\lambda$ and $\pi^{\mathcal{A}}_\mu$ are equivalent if and only if $\mathcal{W}\lambda = \mathcal{W}\mu$, and if this is the case, then $\mathcal{O}_{\psi^{\mathcal{A}}_\lambda} = \mathcal{O}_{\psi^{\mathcal{A}}_\mu}$. Now let us assume that the latter equality of coadjoint $\mathrm{U}(\mathcal{A})$-orbits holds. Then there exists $u \in \mathrm{U}(\mathcal{A})$ such that $\psi_\lambda = \psi_\mu \circ \mathrm{Ad}_{\mathfrak{u}(\mathcal{H})}(\pi(u))$ on $\pi(\mathfrak{u}(\mathcal{A}))$. Since $\pi(\mathcal{A})$ is dense in $B(\mathcal{H})$ in the weak operator topology and both sides of the above equality are continuous with respect to this topology, it follows at once that $\psi_\lambda = \psi_\mu \circ \mathrm{Ad}_{\mathfrak{u}(\mathcal{H})}(\pi(u))$ on $B(\mathcal{H})$, which leads to $D_\lambda = \pi(u)^{-1} D_\mu \pi(u)$. Both D_λ and D_μ are self-adjoint diagonal operators, with the spectra (including the spectral multiplicities) described by the functions $\lambda, \mu \colon J \to \mathbb{Z}$. That D_λ and D_μ are conjugate implies that, for every $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have $|\lambda^{-1}(n)| = |\mu^{-1}(n)|$, and since these numbers are finite for $n \neq 0$, we obtain $\mu \in \mathcal{W}\lambda$ (cf. Theorem 2.2).

Finally, if we have $I^{\mathbf{n}}_{\pi^{\mathcal{A}}_\lambda} = I^{\mathbf{n}}_{\pi^{\mathcal{A}}_\mu}$, then (ii) above shows that $\mathcal{O}_{\psi^{\mathcal{A}}_\lambda} = \mathcal{O}_{\psi^{\mathcal{A}}_\mu}$, and this completes the proof. ■

Remark 3.6 If $\dim \mathcal{H} = n < \infty$ and $(\pi, \mathcal{H})$ is a finite dimensional representation of $\mathcal{A}$, then $\pi(\mathcal{A}) = B(\mathcal{H}) = K(\mathcal{H})$, so that the results of this section are trivial consequences of the corresponding ones for irreducible unitary representations of $\mathrm{U}_n(\mathbb{C})$.

Remark 3.7 An irreducible representation $(\pi, \mathcal{H})$ of the C^*-algebra can be obtained with the GNS construction from any pure state φ of the form

$$\varphi(A) = \langle \pi(A)v, v \rangle, \quad \|v\| = 1, v \in \mathcal{H}$$

and since $\pi(\mathrm{U}(\mathcal{A}))$ acts transitively on $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H})$, the pure states defining equivalent representations form a $\mathrm{U}(\mathcal{A})$-orbit in the state space $S(\mathcal{A})$. The map

$$\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H}) \to S(\mathcal{A}), \quad [v] \mapsto \varphi_v, \quad \varphi_v(A) := \frac{\langle \pi(A)v, v\rangle}{\langle v, v\rangle}$$

is injective because $\pi(\mathcal{A}) \subseteq B(\mathcal{H})$ is dense in the weak operator topology.

It is instructive to describe the functional $i\psi_\lambda^{\mathcal{A}}$ in C^*-algebraic terms. To obtain such a description, we call an n-tupel $(\varphi_1, \ldots, \varphi_n) \in \mathrm{U}(\mathcal{A})\varphi \subseteq S(\mathcal{A})$ *orthogonal* if $\varphi_j = \varphi_{v_j}$ for pairwise orthogonal elements $v_1, \ldots, v_n \in \mathcal{H}$. Note that Proposition 3.2 implies that $\mathrm{U}(\mathcal{A})$ acts transitively on the orthogonal n-tupels in the orbit $\mathrm{U}(\mathcal{A})\varphi$. [1]

Writing $\lambda = \sum_{j\in J} \lambda_j \varepsilon_j$ as a finite sum, where $\varepsilon_j(k) = \delta_{jk}$, the vectors $\{e_j \colon j \in \operatorname{supp}(\lambda)\}$ form an orthonormal set corresponding to the orthogonal states φ_j, $j \in \operatorname{supp}(\lambda)$. We now have

$$i\psi_\lambda^{\mathcal{A}}(A) = \operatorname{Tr}(D_\lambda \pi(A)) = \sum_{j\in J} \lambda_j \langle \pi(A)e_j, e_j\rangle = \sum_{j\in J} \lambda_j \varphi_j(A),$$

so that

$$\psi_\lambda^{\mathcal{A}} = -i \sum_{j\in\operatorname{supp}(\lambda)} \lambda_j \varphi_j$$

is an intrinsic description of $\psi_\lambda^{\mathcal{A}}$ in terms of orthogonal states in $\mathrm{U}(\mathcal{A})\varphi$. Fixing a bijection $\gamma \colon \{1, \ldots, N\} \to \operatorname{supp}(\lambda)$, it now follows that the coadjoint orbit $\mathcal{O}_{\psi_\lambda^{\mathcal{A}}}$ consists of the restrictions of all functional of the form

$$-i \sum_{j=1}^{N} \lambda_{\gamma(j)} \varphi_j,$$

where $(\varphi_1, \ldots, \varphi_N)$ is an orthogonal N-tupel in $\mathrm{U}(\mathcal{A})\varphi$.

For the particular case where $\lambda_j \in \{0, 1\}$, we have $\mathcal{H}_\lambda \cong \Lambda^N(\mathcal{H})$ and the elements of $\mathcal{O}_{\psi_\lambda^{\mathcal{A}}}$ correspond to sums of orthogonal N-tuples in $\mathrm{U}(\mathcal{A})\varphi$.

For the case $N = 1$ and $\lambda = m\delta_{j_0}$ we simply obtain $\mathcal{O}_{\psi_\lambda^{\mathcal{A}}} = -im\mathrm{U}(\mathcal{A})\varphi$.

Remark 3.8 In the setting of Theorem 3.5(i), it is not clear whether the coadjoint orbit $\mathcal{O}_{\psi_\lambda^{\mathcal{A}}} \subseteq \mathfrak{u}(\mathcal{A})'$ is "smooth" in the sense that the isotropy Lie algebra at any of its points is a complemented subspace of $\mathfrak{u}(\mathcal{A})$. Nevertheless, if $K(\mathcal{H}) \subseteq \pi(\mathcal{A})$, then the fact that the natural complement of $\mathfrak{u}(\mathcal{H})_\lambda$ can be chosen in $\mathfrak{u}_\infty(\mathcal{H})$ implies that it also is a complement of the stabilizer algebra in $\pi(\mathfrak{u}(\mathcal{A}))$.

We can now describe which ones of the representations $\pi_\lambda^{\mathcal{A}}$ are contractive in the sense of [Pa83] and [Pa87].

---

[1] For any orthogonal n-tuple of states, the functional $\varphi := \frac{1}{n}(\varphi_1 + \cdots + \varphi_n)$ also is a state. It corresponds to the element $(v_1, \ldots, v_n) \in \mathcal{H}^n$, which is a cyclic vector for the natural representation of $\mathcal{A}$ on $\mathcal{H}^n$ ([Dix64, Thm. 2.8.3(iii)]; Proposition 3.2).

Proposition 3.9 *If $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}$, then we have*

$$(\forall g_1, g_2 \in \mathrm{U}(\mathcal{A})) \quad \|\pi_\lambda^{\mathcal{A}}(g_1) - \pi_\lambda^{\mathcal{A}}(g_2)\| \leq \|g_1 - g_2\| \tag{12}$$

if and only if $\lambda = \pm\varepsilon_j$ for some $j \in J$, i.e., $\pi_\lambda^{\mathcal{A}}$ is either the representation $\pi|_{\mathrm{U}(\mathcal{A})}$ or its dual.

Proof. If (12) is satisfied, then it follows by Lemma 1.3 that for every $\mu \in I_{\pi_\lambda^{\mathcal{A}}}$ we have $\|\mu\| \leq 1$. On the other hand $\psi_\lambda^{\mathcal{A}} \in I_{\pi_\lambda^{\mathcal{A}}}$ (see Theorem 3.5), hence we get by Theorem 3.5(ii) that $\|\lambda\|_1 \leq 1$. Since λ is an integer valued function on J, the assertion follows. ■

Using the momentum sets in classification problems

We have seen in Theorem 3.5(iii) that, fixing the algebra representation π, the unitary representations of the form $\pi_\lambda^{\mathcal{A}}$ can be distinguished by the corresponding coadjoint orbits $\mathcal{O}_{\psi_\lambda^{\mathcal{A}}}$ and the norm closed momentum sets $I_{\pi_\lambda}^{\mathbf{n}}$. A priori, the coadjoint orbit is not defined intrinsically in terms of the representation $\pi_\lambda^{\mathcal{A}}$ of $\mathrm{U}(\mathcal{A})$, but $I_{\pi_\lambda}^{\mathbf{n}}$ is. Nevertheless, just as in the representation theory of compact Lie groups (see [Wi92] and [Ne00]), we found that $\mathcal{O}_{\psi_\lambda^{\mathcal{A}}}$ can be specified intrinsically as the set of extreme points of $I_{\pi_\lambda}^{\mathbf{n}}$.

Another intrinsically defined object is the full momentum set $I_{\pi_\lambda}^{\mathcal{A}}$. As we have seen in [Ne10, Thm. 7.1], it does not separate the unitary representations of $\mathrm{U}(\mathcal{A})$ obtained by restricting inequivalent algebra representations with the same kernel, and such representations exist for separable C^*-algebras not of type I ([Dix64, Thm. 9.1], [Sa67]).

Remark 3.10 (a) However, [KOS03, Thm. 1.1] asserts that the normal subgroup of asymptotically inner automorphisms of a separable C^*-algebra $\mathcal{A}$ acts transitively on $\mathrm{Ext}(I_\pi)$ for any irreducible representation π of $\mathcal{A}$. Indeed, $\mathrm{Ext}(I_\pi)$ is the set of all pure states φ of $\mathcal{A}$ for which the corresponding representation π_φ has the same kernel as π, hence the same momentum set (cf. [Ne00, Thm. X.5.12]).

(b) According to [Dix64, Prop. 5.1.3], two representations π_1 and π_2 of a C^*-algebra $\mathcal{A}$ are quasi-equivalent if and only if they have equivalent multiples. For the corresponding unitary representations of $\mathrm{U}(\mathcal{A})$, this means that $I_{\pi_1}^{\mathbf{n}} = I_{\pi_2}^{\mathbf{n}}$. In fact, multiples of a given representations have the same norm-closed momentum set, so that quasi-equivalence of π_1 and π_2 implies equality of the norm-closed momentum sets. If, conversely, $I_{\pi_1}^{\mathbf{n}} = I_{\pi_2}^{\mathbf{n}}$, then the two representations π_1 and π_2 have the same set of normal states, so that their extensions $\pi_1^{**} \colon \mathcal{A}^{**} \to B(\mathcal{H}_1)$ and $\pi_2^{**} \colon \mathcal{A}^{**} \to B(\mathcal{H}_2)$ to the enveloping W^*-algebra $\mathcal{A}^{**}$ have the same kernel. This in turn implies that

$$\pi_1(\mathcal{A})'' = \pi_1^{**}(\mathcal{A}^{**}) \cong \mathcal{A}^{**}/\ker \pi_1^{**} \cong \pi_2^{**}(\mathcal{A}^{**}) = \pi_2(\mathcal{A})'',$$

so that [Dix64, Prop. 5.1.3] implies that π_1 and π_2 are quasi-equivalent.

(c) According to [Dix64, Prop. 5.3.3], two irreducible representations of a C^*-algebra which are quasi-equivalent are equivalent. This means that in the class of those unitary representations of $\mathrm{U}(\mathcal{A})$ which are restrictions of algebra representations, the norm-closed momentum set $I_\pi^{\mathbf{n}}$ determines the equivalence class of π. As we have already observed above, this is not true for the momentum set I_π if $\mathcal{A}$ is not of type I.

Geometrically, the fact that $I_\pi^{\mathbf{n}}$ determines the equivalence class of the unitary representation $(\pi, \mathcal{H})$ of $\mathrm{U}(\mathcal{A})$ coming from an algebra representation is that $I_\pi^{\mathbf{n}} \cong S_*(B(\mathcal{H}))$ and that the extreme points of this set are parametrized by the projective space $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H})$ of one-dimensional subspaces of $\mathcal{H}$. As $\mathrm{U}(\mathcal{A})$ acts transitively on this set, it acts transitively on the extreme points of $I_\pi^{\mathbf{n}}$, so that $\mathrm{Ext}(I_\pi^{\mathbf{n}})$ is the $\mathrm{U}(\mathcal{A})$-orbit in $\mathrm{Ext}(S(\mathcal{A}))$ consisting of all those pure states φ with $\pi_\varphi \cong \pi$ as algebra representations.

In the present subsection we discuss some applications of the momentum sets to the problem of classifying representations of unitary groups for various classes of C^*-algebras. Before going any further in this direction, let us settle the case of C^*-algebras of type I by a statement which extends Proposition 2.4.

Proposition 3.11 *Let $\pi\colon \mathcal{A} \to B(\mathcal{H})$ be any $*$-representation of a unital C^*-algebra such that $K(\mathcal{H}) \subseteq \pi(\mathcal{A})$. If $\lambda, \mu \in \mathcal{P}$, then $\pi_\lambda^{\mathcal{A}} \cong \pi_\mu^{\mathcal{A}}$ if and only if $I_{\pi_\lambda^{\mathcal{A}}} = I_{\pi_\mu^{\mathcal{A}}}$.*

Proof. First we note that $K(\mathcal{H}) \subseteq \pi(\mathcal{A})$ implies that the representation π is irreducible. Assume that $\pi_\lambda^{\mathcal{A}} \not\cong \pi_\mu^{\mathcal{A}}$. We have to show that $I_{\pi_\lambda^{\mathcal{A}}} \neq I_{\pi_\mu^{\mathcal{A}}}$. In view of Theorem 3.4, $\mu \notin \mathcal{W}\lambda$, so that Proposition 2.4, combined with Theorem 2.2, implies that the subsets I_λ and I_μ of $\mathfrak{u}_\infty(\mathcal{H})'$ are different.

Since $K(\mathcal{H}) \subseteq \pi(\mathcal{A})$, Proposition 1.5 shows that $I_\lambda = I_{\pi_\lambda^{\mathcal{A}}}|_{\mathfrak{u}_\infty(\mathcal{H})}$ and $I_\mu = I_{\pi_\mu^{\mathcal{A}}}|_{\mathfrak{u}_\infty(\mathcal{H})}$. Hence $I_{\pi_\lambda^{\mathcal{A}}} \neq I_{\pi_\mu^{\mathcal{A}}}$. ■

Corollary 3.12 *Let $\pi\colon \mathcal{A} \to B(\mathcal{H})$ be an irreducible $*$-representation of a unital C^*-algebra of type I. If $\lambda, \mu\colon J \to \mathbb{Z}$ are non-decreasing, finitely supported functions, then $\pi_\lambda^{\mathcal{A}} \cong \pi_\mu^{\mathcal{A}}$ if and only if $I_{\pi_\lambda^{\mathcal{A}}} = I_{\pi_\mu^{\mathcal{A}}}$.*

Proof. To derive this from Proposition 3.11, we recall that a C^*-algebra $\mathcal{A}$ is of type I if and only if for every irreducible representation $(\pi, \mathcal{H})$ we have $K(\mathcal{H}) \supseteq \pi(\mathcal{A})$ (cf. [Sa67], where this property is called GCR). ■

Proposition 3.13 *For $0 \neq \lambda \in \mathcal{P}$, the ideal $\ker \pi$ can be recovered from $I_{\pi_\lambda^{\mathcal{A}}}$ as the unique largest ideal of $\mathcal{A}$ contained in $I_{\pi_\lambda^{\mathcal{A}}}^\perp$.*

Proof. We consider the subspace

$$\mathcal{B} := \{A \in \mathcal{A}\colon \langle I_{\pi_\lambda^{\mathcal{A}}}, A\rangle = \{0\}\} = (\ker \mathtt{d}\pi_\lambda^{\mathcal{A}})_{\mathbb{C}}.$$

From

$$\ker \pi \cap \mathfrak{u}(\mathcal{A}) \subseteq \ker \mathtt{d}\pi_\lambda^{\mathcal{A}} = I_{\pi_\lambda^{\mathcal{A}}}^\perp$$

it immediately follows that $\mathcal{B} \supseteq \ker \pi$. Therefore it remains to show that $\pi(\mathcal{B})$ contains no non-zero ideal of $\pi(\mathcal{A})$.

As $\mathfrak{u}_\infty(\mathcal{H})$ is a simple Banach–Lie algebra and π_λ is non-trivial, $\ker \mathtt{d}\pi_\lambda = \{0\}$. Therefore every element in $\ker \mathtt{d}\widetilde{\pi}_\lambda$ commutes with $\mathfrak{u}_\infty(\mathcal{H})$, hence is of the form $\zeta\mathbf{1}$ for some $\zeta \in \mathbb{T}$. For these elements we have

$$\widetilde{\pi}_\lambda(\zeta\mathbf{1}) = \prod_{j\in J} \zeta^{\lambda_j} = \zeta^{\sum_j \lambda_j}.$$

Therefore $\ker \mathtt{d}\widetilde{\pi}_\lambda$ is non-zero if and only if $\sum_j \lambda_j = 0$, and in this case it coincides with the center $\mathbb{T}\mathbf{1}$. We conclude that $\ker \pi_\lambda^{\mathcal{A}} \subseteq \mathbb{T}\mathbf{1}$ and hence that $\pi(\mathcal{B}) \subseteq \mathbb{C}\mathbf{1}$.

If $\pi(\mathcal{B}) = \{0\}$, we have $\mathcal{B} = \ker \pi$ and there is nothing to show. So we assume that $\pi(\mathcal{B}) = \mathbb{C}\mathbf{1}$. Then $\pi(\mathcal{B})$ contains no non-zero ideal of $\pi(\mathcal{A})$, which in turn shows that every ideal of $\mathcal{A}$ contained in $\mathcal{B}$ is contained in $\ker \pi$. This means that $\ker \pi$ is the unique largest ideal of $\mathcal{A}$ contained in $\mathcal{B}$. ■

Since an irreducible representation of a separable type I algebra is determined by its kernel ([Dix64, Thm. 9.1]), we immediately derive with Corollary 3.12 and Proposition 3.13:

Theorem 3.14 *For $\mathcal{A}$ separable of type I, $0 \neq \lambda, \mu \in \mathcal{P}$ and two irreducible representations $(\pi, \mathcal{H})$ and $(\rho, \mathcal{K})$, we have*

$$I_{\pi_\lambda^{\mathcal{A}}} = I_{\rho_\mu^{\mathcal{A}}} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \pi \cong \rho \quad \text{and} \quad \pi_\lambda^{\mathcal{A}} \cong \rho_\mu^{\mathcal{A}}.$$

Example 3.15 (a) The argument in Proposition 3.13 does not require that $\dim \mathcal{H} = \infty$, it is only needed that $\pi(\mathcal{A}) \neq \mathbb{C}\mathbf{1}$, i.e., that $\dim \mathcal{H} > 1$.

If $\dim \mathcal{H} = 1$, then $\mathcal{W} = \{\mathbf{1}\}$ and $\mathcal{P} = \mathbb{Z}$, the character group of $\mathrm{U}_1(\mathbb{C}) \cong \mathbb{T}$. For $\pi(a) = \chi(a)\mathbf{1}$, we accordingly have $\pi_\lambda^{\mathcal{A}}(a) = \chi(a)^\lambda \mathbf{1}$.

(b) Suppose that $\mathcal{A}$ is commutative, i.e., $\mathcal{A} = C(X)$ for a compact space X. Then $\mathrm{U}(\mathcal{A}) = C(X, \mathbb{T})$, and by taking tensor products, the preceding construction leads to all characters of $C(X, \mathbb{T})$ which are finite products of point evaluations. If X is totally disconnected, then this exhausts the character group of $C(X, \mathbb{T})$ ([Au93]), but in general there are much more.

In fact, for $X = [0, 1]$, we have $C([0, 1], \mathbb{T}) \cong C([0, 1], \mathbb{R})/\mathbb{Z}$, so that the character group can be identified with the set of real-valued Borel measures μ on $[0, 1]$ with integral total mass. Clearly, all Dirac measures have this property, but Lebesgue measure also does.

Remark 3.16 Suppose that $\mathcal{A} \subseteq B(\mathcal{H})$ is a C^*-algebra containing $K(\mathcal{H})$.

Recall that every irreducible unitary representation $(\pi_\lambda, \mathcal{H}_\lambda)$ of $\mathrm{U}_\infty(\mathcal{A})$ extends to $\mathrm{U}(\mathcal{H}) \supseteq \mathrm{U}(\mathcal{A})$, so that $\mathrm{U}(\mathcal{A})$ acts trivially on the set of equivalence classes of irreducible unitary representations of the normal subgroup $\mathrm{U}_\infty(\mathcal{H})$.

Let $(\pi, \mathcal{H})$ be an irreducible unitary representation of $\mathrm{U}(\mathcal{A})$. Then $\pi|_{\mathrm{U}_\infty(\mathcal{H})}$ decomposes into irreducible representations, and the preceding remark implies that the isoptypic components are invariant under $\mathrm{U}(\mathcal{A})$. Therefore

$$\mathcal{H} \cong \mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{H}_\lambda \quad \text{and} \quad \pi = \gamma \otimes \pi_\lambda^{\mathcal{A}},$$

where $\gamma\colon \mathrm{U}(\mathcal{A})/\mathrm{U}_\infty(\mathcal{H}) \to \mathrm{U}(\mathcal{M})$ is irreducible.

In this sense every irreducible representation of $\mathrm{U}(\mathcal{A})$ can be decomposed into one of the type $\pi_\lambda^{\mathcal{A}}$ and a representation of the quotient group $\mathrm{U}(\mathcal{A})/\mathrm{U}_\infty(\mathcal{H})$, which is a subgroup of $\mathrm{U}(\mathcal{A}/K(\mathcal{H}))$, so that one may hope for an inductive description of irreducible representations if $\mathcal{A}/K(\mathcal{H})$ again has a faithful irreducible representation whose image contains the compact operators.

Example 3.17 Let $\mathcal{A} \subseteq B(\ell^2)$ be the *Toeplitz algebra*, generated by the shift operator S on $\ell^2 = \ell^2(\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{C})$ and its adjoint. Then $\mathcal{A}$ contains $K(\mathcal{H})$ and $\mathcal{A}/K(\mathcal{H}) \cong C(\mathbb{S}^1)$ is commutative. In particular, we have a short exact sequence of Banach–Lie groups

$$\mathbf{1} \to \mathrm{U}_\infty(\mathcal{H}) \to \mathrm{U}(\mathcal{A}) \to C(\mathbb{S}^1, \mathbb{T})_0 \to \mathbf{1}$$

which implies that $\mathrm{U}(\mathcal{A})$ is connected.

Since $\mathcal{A}$ is separable and an extension of a commutative algebra by a type I algebra, it is also of type I. Therefore each irreducible unitary representation is determined uniquely by its kernel. The simplicity of $K(\mathcal{H})$ implies that it is contained in every non-zero ideal $\mathcal{I}$ of $\mathcal{A}$, so that every irreducible representation with a non-trivial kernel factors through the commutative quotient $C(\mathbb{S}^1)$. This implies that $\widehat{\mathcal{A}} = \{\mathrm{id}\}\dot{\cup}\mathbb{S}^1$, i.e., there is only one infinite dimensional irreducible representation and all others are one-dimensional, parametrized by $\mathbb{S}^1$.

In this case we can also determine all irreducible unitary representations $(\pi, \mathcal{H})$ of $\mathrm{U}(\mathcal{A})$. If $\ker \pi$ contains $\mathrm{U}_\infty(\mathcal{H})$, the representation factors through the abelian quotient, hence is one-dimensional. These representations are parametrized by the characters of the Banach–Lie group $C(\mathbb{S}^1, \mathbb{T})_0 \cong \mathbb{T} \times C_*(\mathbb{S}^1, \mathbb{R})$, which is a product of $\mathbb{T}$ and the Banach space $C_*(\mathbb{S}^1, \mathbb{R})$.

If $(\pi, \mathcal{H})$ is an irreducible continuous unitary representation of $\mathrm{U}(\mathcal{A})$, then Remark 3.16 implies that $\mathcal{H} \cong \mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{H}_\lambda$ and $\pi = \gamma \otimes \pi_\lambda^{\mathcal{A}}$, where the representation $\gamma\colon \mathrm{U}(\mathcal{A})/\mathrm{U}_\infty(\mathcal{H}) \to \mathrm{U}(\mathcal{M})$ is irreducible, hence one-dimensional. Therefore $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_\lambda$ and $\pi(g) = \chi(g)\pi_\lambda^{\mathcal{A}}(g)$, where $\chi\colon \mathrm{U}(\mathcal{A}) \to \mathbb{T}$ is a character; actually a pull-back of a character of $C(\mathbb{S}^1, \mathbb{T})_0$. This provides a complete description of the continuous unitary representations of $\mathrm{U}(\mathcal{A})$.

4 More on extreme points of momentum sets

In this section we point out additional properties of the extreme points of momentum sets, by using some basic ideas of infinite dimensional convexity. We refer to [FLP01] for a survey on convexity in Banach spaces. In particular, for arbitrary $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}$, we are thus able to obtain in Corollary 4.7 below an intrinsic description of the coadjoint orbit $\mathcal{O}_{D_\lambda}$ as the set of weak-$*$-strongly exposed points of the momentum set I_λ. We recall that such a description involving the norm-closed momentum set $I_\lambda^{\mathbf{n}}$ was already obtained in Theorem 3.5 (see (9)).

Definition 4.1 If $\mathcal{X}$ is a real Banach space with the topological dual $\mathcal{X}'$, and $A \subseteq \mathcal{X}'$, then we define the following subsets of the set $\mathrm{Ext}(A)$ of all extreme points of A:

1. $\mathrm{Ext}^*(A)$ is the set of all extreme points of A which are points of continuity of the identity map $(A,\ \text{weak-}*\text{-topology}) \to (A,\ \text{norm topology})$.
2. $\mathrm{Dent}^*(A)$ the set of *weak-$*$-denting* points of A and consists of the points $a \in A$ such that for every $\epsilon > 0$ we have $a \notin \overline{\mathrm{conv}}^{w^*}(\{b \in A\colon \|a-b\| \geq \epsilon\})$.
3. $\mathrm{StrExp}^*(A)$ is the set of *weak-$*$-strongly exposed* points of A. It consists of the points $a \in A$ for which there exists a weak-$*$-continuous linear functional $f\colon \mathcal{X}' \to \mathbb{R}$ such that $f(a) = \sup f(A)$ and for every sequence $\{a_n\}_{n\geq 1}$ in A with $\lim\limits_{n\to\infty} f(a_n) = f(a)$ we have $\lim\limits_{n\to\infty} \|a_n - a\| = 0$.

It is easily seen that

$$\mathrm{StrExp}^*(A) \subseteq \mathrm{Dent}^*(A) \subseteq \mathrm{Ext}^*(A) \subseteq \mathrm{Ext}(A). \tag{13}$$

Remark 4.2 We recall that a Banach space is said to have the *Kreĭn–Milman property* if every bounded, closed, convex subset is the (norm-)closed convex hull of its extreme points. If the Banach space under consideration is the topological dual of another Banach space, then it is known that the Kreĭn–Milman property is equivalent to the so-called Radon–Nikodým property, which is further equivalent to the property that every weak-$*$-compact convex subset is the weak-$*$-closed convex hull of its weak-$*$-strongly exposed points; see [Ph89, Thm. 5.12]. In particular, if A is weak-$*$-compact, convex, and nonempty, then in (13) we have $\mathrm{StrExp}^*(A) \neq \emptyset$. A description of the set of weak-$*$-denting points is provided by [OP08, Thm. 1.3].

For later use, we also mention that the Kreĭn–Milman property is shared by both the ideal of trace-class operators on a (not necessarily separable) Hilbert space and the space of absolutely summable families $\ell^1(J)$ with a not necessarily countable index set; see [Chu81, Lemma 2], and [Bog83, Cor. 4.1.9] as well as [Lin66], respectively.

Remark 4.3 If $\pi\colon G \to \mathrm{U}(\mathcal{H})$ is a bounded representation, then the momentum set I_π is weak-$*$-compact and is the weak-$*$-closed convex hull of $\mathrm{im}(\Phi_\pi)$, hence we get $\mathrm{Ext}(I_\pi) \subseteq \overline{\mathrm{im}(\Phi_\pi)}^{w^*}$ by Milman's theorem (see [Bob07, Ch. 2, §4, Prop. 4]).

It then follows that $\mathrm{Ext}^*(I_\pi)$ is contained in the norm closure of $\mathrm{im}(\Phi_\pi)$, and in particular $\mathrm{Ext}^*(I_\pi) \subseteq I_\pi^{\mathbf{n}}$. Thence we get $\mathrm{Ext}^*(I_\pi) \subseteq I_\pi^{\mathbf{n}} \cap \mathrm{Ext}(I_\pi)$, hence eventually $\mathrm{Ext}^*(I_\pi) \subseteq \mathrm{Ext}^*(I_\pi^{\mathbf{n}})$.

We now record a simple folklore lemma which will be needed in the proof of Corollary 4.7.

Lemma 4.4 *Let $\mathcal{X}$ be a real Banach space and assume that the functionals $\varphi, \varphi_1, \varphi_2, \dots \in \mathcal{X}'$ satisfy the conditions $\|\varphi_n\| \leq \|\varphi\|$ for every $n \geq 1$ and $\lim\limits_{n\to\infty} \varphi_n = \varphi$ in the weak-$*$-topology. Then $\lim\limits_{n\to\infty} \|\varphi_n\| = \|\varphi\|$.*

Proof. The hypothesis implies $\limsup\limits_{n\to\infty}\|\varphi_n\| \le \|\varphi\|$. Therefore, if the conclusion fails to be true, then we must have $\liminf\limits_{n\to\infty}\|\varphi_n\| < \|\varphi\|$. Then there exist $\epsilon > 0$ and integers $n_1 < n_2 < \cdots$ such that $\|\varphi_{n_k}\| + 2\epsilon < \|\varphi\|$ for every $k \ge 1$. It then follows that there exists $x_0 \in \mathcal{X}$ such that $\|x_0\| = 1$ and $\|\varphi_{n_k}\| + \epsilon < |\varphi(x_0)|$ for every $k \ge 1$. Consequently $|\varphi_{n_k}(x_0)| + \epsilon < |\varphi(x_0)|$ for every $k \ge 1$, and then we cannot possibly have $\lim\limits_{n\to\infty}\varphi_n(x_0) = \varphi(x_0)$. The later equality is however ensured by the hypothesis that $\lim\limits_{n\to\infty}\varphi_n = \varphi$ in the weak-$*$-topology. This contradiction concludes the proof. ■

Proposition 4.5 *If $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}$ and $\mathrm{Ext}^*(I_{\pi_\lambda^{\mathcal{A}}}) \ne \emptyset$, then we have*

$$\mathcal{O}_{\psi_\lambda^{\mathcal{A}}} = \mathrm{Ext}^*(I_{\pi_\lambda^{\mathcal{A}}}) \subseteq \mathrm{Ext}^*(I^{\mathbf{n}}_{\pi_\lambda^{\mathcal{A}}}).$$

Proof. Theorem 3.5 ensures that $I_{\pi_\lambda^{\mathcal{A}}} = \overline{\mathrm{conv}}^{w^*}(\mathcal{O}_{\psi_\lambda^{\mathcal{A}}})$, hence by Milman's theorem ([Bob07, Ch. 2, §4, Prop. 4]) we get $\mathrm{Ext}(I_{\pi_\lambda^{\mathcal{A}}}) \subseteq \overline{\mathcal{O}_{\psi_\lambda^{\mathcal{A}}}}^{w^*}$. This further implies that $\mathrm{Ext}^*(I_{\pi_\lambda^{\mathcal{A}}}) \subseteq \overline{\mathcal{O}_{\psi_\lambda^{\mathcal{A}}}}^{\mathbf{n}} = \mathcal{O}_{\psi_\lambda^{\mathcal{A}}}$, where the latter equality follows by Theorem 3.5(ii).

On the other hand, it is easily seen that the set $\mathrm{Ext}^*(I_{\pi_\lambda^{\mathcal{A}}})$ is invariant under the coadjoint action of $\mathrm{U}(\mathcal{A})$. Therefore, if $\mathrm{Ext}^*(I_{\pi_\lambda^{\mathcal{A}}}) \ne \emptyset$, it follows by the above inclusion relation that we actually have $\mathrm{Ext}^*(I_{\pi_\lambda^{\mathcal{A}}}) = \mathcal{O}_{\psi_\lambda^{\mathcal{A}}}$. The inclusion $\mathrm{Ext}^*(I_{\pi_\lambda^{\mathcal{A}}}) \subseteq \mathrm{Ext}^*(I^{\mathbf{n}}_{\pi_\lambda^{\mathcal{A}}})$ was already noted in Remark 4.3. ■

For the next statement we recall that the C^*-algebra $\mathcal{A}$ is said to be *scattered* if every positive linear functional on $\mathcal{A}$ is the sum of a sequence of pure functionals.

Corollary 4.6 *If $\mathcal{A}$ is a scattered C^*-algebra, then the following assertions hold:*

(i) *If $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}$, then $\mathcal{O}_{\psi_\lambda^{\mathcal{A}}} = \mathrm{Ext}^*(I_{\pi_\lambda^{\mathcal{A}}}) \subseteq \mathrm{Ext}^*(I^{\mathbf{n}}_{\pi_\lambda^{\mathcal{A}}})$.*

(ii) *If $\lambda, \mu \in \mathcal{P}$, then*
$$I_{\pi_\lambda} = I_{\pi_\mu} \iff \pi_\lambda^{\mathcal{A}} \simeq \pi_\mu^{\mathcal{A}}.$$

Proof. It follows by the main theorem of [Chu81] that the topological dual of $\mathcal{A}$ has the Radon–Nikodým property, and then $\mathrm{Ext}^*(I_{\pi_\lambda^{\mathcal{A}}}) \ne \emptyset$ by Remark 4.2. Therefore (i) follows by Proposition 4.5.

For (ii), note that the implication "$\Leftarrow$" is obvious. Conversely, if $I_{\pi_\lambda^{\mathcal{A}}} = I_{\pi_\mu^{\mathcal{A}}}$, then $\mathrm{Ext}^*(I^{\mathbf{n}}_{\pi_\lambda^{\mathcal{A}}}) = \mathrm{Ext}^*(I^{\mathbf{n}}_{\pi_\mu^{\mathcal{A}}})$, hence (i) shows that $\mathcal{O}_{\psi_\lambda^{\mathcal{A}}} = \mathcal{O}_{\psi_\mu^{\mathcal{A}}}$. Now Theorem 3.5 ensures that $\pi_\lambda^{\mathcal{A}} \simeq \pi_\mu^{\mathcal{A}}$. ■

The next corollary provides an alternative proof for Proposition 2.4, and for this reason we resume the corresponding notation. This statement should also be compared with [Ne00, Thm. X.4.1(ii)], which in particular describes representations of finite dimensional Lie groups for which the exposed points of the momentum sets coincide with the extreme points and constitute a coadjoint orbit.

Corollary 4.7 *If $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}$, then*

$$\mathcal{O}_{D_\lambda} \simeq \mathrm{StrExp}^*(I_\lambda) = \mathrm{Dent}^*(I_\lambda) = \mathrm{Ext}^*(I_\lambda) \subseteq \mathrm{Ext}(I_\lambda), \tag{14}$$

and the latter inclusion may be strict. Moreover, for $\lambda, \mu \in \mathcal{P}$ we have $I_\lambda = I_\mu$ if and only if $\pi_\lambda \simeq \pi_\mu$.

Proof. Corollary 4.6 applies since the C^*-algebra $\mathcal{A} = \mathbb{C}\mathbf{1} + K(\mathcal{H})$ is scattered. Therefore, in view of Definition 4.1 (see (13)), the equalities in (14) are obtained as soon as we have proved that $\mathcal{O}_{D_\lambda} \subseteq \mathrm{StrExp}^*(I_\lambda)$ (Corollary 4.6). Since $\mathrm{StrExp}^*(I_\lambda)$ is $\mathrm{U}(\mathcal{H})$-invariant, it suffices to check that $D_\lambda \in \mathrm{StrExp}^*(I_\lambda)$.

We now use the method of Remark 2.7. To this end, let $f\colon \mathrm{Herm}_1(\mathcal{H}) \to \mathbb{R}$, $f(X) = \mathrm{Tr}(D_\lambda X)$, and let $\{T_n\}_{n\geq 1}$ be a sequence in I_λ ($\subseteq \mathrm{Herm}_1(\mathcal{H})$) such that $\lim\limits_{n\to\infty} f(T_n) = f(D_\lambda)$. Since $\|T_n\|_2 \leq \|D_\lambda\|_2$ (see Remark 2.7), it then follows at once that $\lim\limits_{n\to\infty} \|T_n - D_\lambda\|_2 = 0$. We get in particular $\lim\limits_{n\to\infty} T_n = D_\lambda$ in the weak operator topology.

On the other hand, I_λ is contained in the ball centered at $0 \in \mathrm{Herm}_1(\mathcal{H})$ with radius $\|D_\lambda\|_1$, as a direct consequence of Theorem 3.5(i). It is easily seen that the weak operator topology coincides with the weak-$*$-topology on any ball in $\mathrm{Herm}_1(\mathcal{H})$, and then $\lim\limits_{n\to\infty} T_n = D_\lambda$ in the weak-$*$-topology of $\mathrm{Herm}_1(\mathcal{H})$. By using Lemma 4.4 we now get $\lim\limits_{n\to\infty} \|T_n\|_1 = \|D_\lambda\|_1$.

Now the conclusions of the above two paragraphs imply $\lim\limits_{n\to\infty} \|T_n - D_\lambda\|_1 = 0$ since the trace class has the Radon–Riesz property (also called the Kadec–Klee property or the Kadets–Klee property), i.e., every weakly convergent sequence $x_n \to x$ with $\|x_n\| \to \|x\|$ converges; see [Ar81] and [Si81] for the case of separable Hilbert spaces and [Le90] for a stronger property in the general case.

Thus $D_\lambda \in \mathrm{StrExp}^*(I_\lambda)$, and this completes the proof of (14). To see that the final inclusion in (14) may be strict, note that if $0 \leq \lambda \in \mathcal{P}$, then we have $0 \in \mathrm{Ext}(I_\lambda) \setminus \mathcal{O}_{D_\lambda}$. ■

A Schur–Weyl duality for infinite dimensional spaces

In this appendix we collect some general remarks on the decomposition of $V^{\otimes k}$ under $\mathrm{GL}(V)$ for an infinite dimensional vector space V. In particular, we explain how this can be adapted to the decomposition of finite tensor products of

Hilbert spaces $\mathcal{H}$ under the action of $\mathrm{U}(\mathcal{H})$, resp., $\mathrm{GL}(\mathcal{H})$, which was considered in [Se57], [Ki73], [Ol79], and so on.

Let V be a complex vector space and $V^{\otimes k}$ the kth tensor power of V. Clearly, the product group $\mathrm{GL}(V) \times S_k$ acts on this space, and since S_k is finite, $V^{\otimes k}$ is a semisimple S_k-module. We identify the set $\widehat{S}_k$ of equivalence class of simple S_k-modules with the set $\mathrm{Part}(k)$ of partitions of k and write M^λ for the simple S_k-module corresponding to the partition $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n)$.

Remark A.1 For any inclusion $V_1 \hookrightarrow V_2$ of vector spaces, we obtain an inclusion of S_k-modules $V_1^{\otimes k} \hookrightarrow V_2^{\otimes k}$.

Combining the preceding remark with [GW98, Thm. 9.1.2], it follows that if $\dim V = \infty$, then each irreducible S_k-module occurs in $V^{\otimes k}$, and if $\dim V < \infty$, then all modules corresponding to partitions $\lambda \in \mathrm{Part}(k, n)$ into at most $n = \dim V$ pieces occur.

For $\lambda \in \mathrm{Part}(k)$, let $P_\lambda \in \mathbb{C}[S_k]$ denote the corresponding central projection, so that $P_\lambda V^{\otimes k}$ is the isotypic component of type λ. From finite dimensional Schur–Weyl Theory, we know that for each finite dimensional subspace $F \subseteq V$, the space $P_\lambda(F^{\otimes k})$ is an isotypic $\mathrm{GL}(F)$-module whose multiplicity space is an S_k-module isomorphic to M^λ. Moreover, the S_k-multiplicity space

$$\mathbb{S}_\lambda(F) := \mathrm{Hom}_{S_k}(M^\lambda, F^{\otimes k})$$

is a simple $\mathrm{GL}(F)$-module, where $\mathrm{GL}(F)$ acts by composition in the range. Further, the evaluation map induces an isomorphism

$$\mathbb{S}_\lambda(F) \otimes M^\lambda \to P_\lambda(F^{\otimes k})$$

of $\mathrm{GL}(F) \times S_k$-modules.

Theorem A.2 $\mathbb{S}_\lambda(V) := \mathrm{Hom}_{S_k}(M^\lambda, V^{\otimes k})$ *is an irreducible* $\mathrm{GL}(V)$*-module.*

Proof. We show that each non-zero element $0 \neq a \in \mathbb{S}_\lambda(V)$ is a cyclic vector. Since M^λ is finite dimensional, $\mathrm{im}(a) \subseteq V^{\otimes k}$ is finite dimensional, hence contained in $F^{\otimes k}$ for some finite dimensional subspace $F \subseteq V$. This means that $a \in \mathbb{S}_\lambda(F)$.

For any finite dimensional subspace $E \subseteq V$ containing F, the space $\mathbb{S}_\lambda(E)$ is a simple $\mathrm{GL}(E)$-module, and since every element of $\mathrm{GL}(E)$ extends to an element of $\mathrm{GL}(V)$, it follows that $\mathrm{span}(\mathrm{GL}(V)a) \supseteq \mathbb{S}_\lambda(E)$. Since E was arbitrary and $\mathbb{S}_\lambda(V)$ is the union of all $\mathbb{S}_\lambda(E)$, a is a cyclic vector in $\mathbb{S}_\lambda(V)$. This proves that $\mathbb{S}_\lambda(V)$ is irreducible. ■

Corollary A.3 *Under the action of* $\mathrm{GL}(V) \times S_k$*, we have the following decomposition of* $V^{\otimes k}$ *into simple submodules*

$$V^{\otimes k} \cong \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathrm{Part}(k)} \mathbb{S}_\lambda(V) \otimes M^\lambda.$$

Remark A.4 We obtain the same decomposition with respect to the smaller group $\mathrm{GL}_f(V)$ of all invertible linear maps g for which $g - \mathrm{id}_V$ is of finite rank. The same arguments as for $\mathrm{GL}(V)$ apply.

The next statement is well known (its version for topological groups can be found for instance in [KS77] or [Ol90]). We include its proof for the sake of completeness.

Proposition A.5 *Let S be a topological involutive semigroup and $(S_i)_{i\in I}$ a directed family of involutive subsemigroups of S such that $\bigcup_{i\in I} S_i$ is dense in S. Also let $\mathcal{H}$ be a complex Hilbert space and $(\mathcal{H}_i)_{i\in I}$ a directed family of closed subspaces of $\mathcal{H}$ such that $\bigcup_{i\in I} \mathcal{H}_i$ is dense. Assume that $\rho\colon S \to B(\mathcal{H})$ is a strongly continuous $*$-representation of S such that for each $i \in I$ the subspace $\mathcal{H}_i$ is invariant invariant under $\rho(S_i)$ and the representation $\rho_i\colon S_i \to B(\mathcal{H}_i)$, $g \mapsto \rho(g)|_{\mathcal{H}_i}$, is irreducible. Then the representation ρ is irreducible.*

Proof. It follows at once that the subset $\rho(S)$ of $B(\mathcal{H})$ is self-adjoint. Thus its commutant $\rho(S)'$ is a von Neumann subalgebra of $B(\mathcal{H})$, and what we have to prove is that $\rho(S)' = \mathbb{C}\mathbf{1}$.

To this end, denote by $p_i \in B(\mathcal{H})$ the orthogonal projection on $\mathcal{H}_i$ for all $i \in I$. Then $p_i \nearrow \mathbf{1}$ in the strong operator topology for $i \in I$. Now let $a \in \rho(S)'$ arbitrary and fix $i \in I$ for the moment. Then $a \in \rho(S_i)'$ and $p_i \in \rho(S_i)'$, so that $p_i a|_{\mathcal{H}_i} \in \rho_i(S_i)'$. Since ρ_i is an irreducible representation, we have $\rho_i(S_i)' = \mathbb{C}\mathbf{1} \subseteq B(\mathcal{H}_i)$, so there exists $z_i \in \mathbb{C}$ with $p_i a|_{\mathcal{H}_i} = z_i \mathbf{1} \in B(\mathcal{H}_i)$. Consequently $p_i a p_i = z_i p_i$. If we let n run through the nonnegative integers, we thus get a family of complex numbers $\{z_i\}_{i\in I}$.

On the other hand, for all $i, j \in I$ with $i \leq j$ we have $p_i \leq p_j$, that is, $p_i p_j = p_j p_i = p_i$, hence

$$z_i p_i = p_i a p_i = p_i p_j a p_j p_i = z_j p_i p_j p_i = z_j p_i.$$

This proves that $z_i = z_j$ whenever $i \leq j$. Since I is a directed set, it follows that actually $z_i = z_j$ for all $i, j \in I$, hence there exists $z_0 \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $z_i = z_0$ for all $i \in I$. Therefore $p_i a p_i = z_0 p_i$ for every $i \in I$. Since $p_i \nearrow \mathbf{1}$ in the strong operator topology for $i \in I$, it follows that $a = z_0 \mathbf{1}$. Thus $\rho(S)' = \mathbb{C}\mathbf{1}$, and this completes the proof. ■

Remark A.6 Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a Hilbert space and $\mathcal{H}^{\otimes k}$ be the Hilbert space k-fold tensor product. Then the action of the group $\mathrm{U}(\mathcal{H}) \times S_k$ on this Hilbert space is unitary.

If $F \subseteq \mathcal{H}$ is a finite dimensional subspace, then $\mathbb{S}_\lambda(F) \subseteq F^{\otimes k}$ is a simple $\mathrm{U}(F)$-module because $\mathrm{GL}(F) \cong \mathrm{U}(F)_{\mathbb{C}}$ and it is simple under $\mathrm{GL}(F)$. Next note that the union of all the subspaces $\mathbb{S}_\lambda(F)$ of $\mathbb{S}_\lambda(\mathcal{H}) := \mathrm{Hom}_{S^k}(M^\lambda, \mathcal{H}^{\otimes k})$ is a dense subspace, and that each unitary operator $u \in \mathrm{U}(F)$ extends to some element of $\mathrm{U}(\mathcal{H})$. Therefore Proposition A.5 implies that the representation of $\mathrm{U}(\mathcal{H})$ on $\mathbb{S}_\lambda(\mathcal{H})$ is irreducible.

The same argument also implies that the representation of the subgroup $\mathrm{U}_\infty(\mathcal{H}) := \mathrm{U}(\mathcal{H}) \cap (\mathbf{1} + K(\mathcal{H}))$ on $\mathbb{S}_\lambda(\mathcal{H})$ is irreducible. If $\mathcal{A} \subseteq B(\mathcal{H})$ is a unital C^*-algebra acting irreducibly on $\mathcal{H}$, then it also follows from Theorem 3.4 that the representation of $\mathrm{U}(\mathcal{A})$ on $\mathbb{S}_\lambda(\mathcal{H})$ is irreducible.

B Weyl group orbits and their convex hulls

In this section we discuss the convex geometry of the weak-$*$-closed convex hull $\mathrm{co}(\lambda)$ of $\mathcal{W}\lambda$ in $\ell^1(J, \mathbb{R})$ and of the norm-closed convex hull $\mathrm{co}^{\mathbf{n}}(\lambda)$ which is a subset of $\mathrm{co}(\lambda)$.

We start with a general observation concerning finite reflection groups.

Lemma B.1 *Let $\mathcal{W} \subseteq \mathrm{GL}(V)$ be a finite reflection group whose reflections have the form*

$$s_\alpha(v) = v - \alpha(v)\check{\alpha}, \quad \alpha \in V^*, \check{\alpha} \in V, \alpha(\check{\alpha}) = 2, \alpha \in \Delta.$$

Then, for each $\lambda \in V^*$, we have

$$\mathcal{W}\lambda \subseteq \lambda - C_\lambda \quad \textit{for} \quad C_\lambda := \mathrm{cone}(\{\alpha \in \Delta \colon \lambda(\check{\alpha}) > 0\})$$

and the function $f \colon \mathcal{W} \to \mathbb{R}, w \mapsto (w\lambda)(x)$ is maximal in $\mathbf{1}$ if and only if $x \in C_\lambda^\star$.

Proof. This is a refinement of [Ne00, Prop. V.2.7], which asserts that for each chamber $C \subseteq V$ with

$$\lambda \in \check{C}^\star, \quad \check{C} = \mathrm{cone}\big(\{\check{\alpha} \colon \alpha(C) \subseteq \mathbb{R}_+\}\big),$$

we have $\mathcal{W}\lambda \subseteq \lambda - C^\star$. From this relation we immediately derive that

$$\mathcal{W}\lambda \subseteq \lambda - \bigcap_{\lambda \in \check{C}^\star} C^\star = \lambda - \Big(\bigcup_{\lambda \in \check{C}^\star} C\Big)^\star.$$

Let $\Delta_C := \{\alpha \in \Delta \colon \alpha(C) \subseteq \mathbb{R}_+\}$ denote the positive system defined by the chamber C. Then $\lambda \in \check{C}^\star$ is equivalent to

$$\lambda(\check{\alpha}) \geq 0 \quad \text{for} \quad \alpha \in \Delta_C.$$

Now $\Delta_\lambda := \{\alpha \in \Delta \colon \lambda(\check{\alpha}) \geq 0\}$ is a parabolic system of roots and the condition above is equivalent to $\Delta_C \subseteq \Delta_\lambda$.

Since each chamber $\check{C}^\star \subseteq V^*$ is a fundamental domain for the action of $\mathcal{W}$ on V^* and $\mathcal{W}$ acts simply transitive on the set of chambers ([Hu92]), the set of all chambers containing λ coincides with the orbit of $\check{C}_0^\star$ for a fixed chamber C_0 satisfying this condition under the stabilizer group $\mathcal{W}_\lambda$. Accordingly

$$\bigcup_{\lambda \in \check{C}^\star} C = \mathcal{W}_\lambda \overline{C_0},$$

and the dual cone of this set is spanned by all roots in

$$\bigcap_{w\in\mathcal{W}_\lambda} w\Delta_{C_0} = \{\alpha \in \Delta_{C_0} \colon \mathcal{W}_\lambda\alpha \subseteq \Delta_{C_0}\}.$$

If $\alpha \in \Delta_{C_0}$ satisfies $\lambda(\check\alpha) = 0$, then $s_\alpha \in \mathcal{W}_\lambda$ and $s_\alpha\alpha = -\alpha \notin \Delta_{C_0}$ implies that

$$\bigcap_{w\in\mathcal{W}_\lambda} w\Delta_{C_0} \subseteq \{\alpha \in \Delta_{C_0} \colon \lambda(\check\alpha) > 0\}$$

and the converse inclusion holds trivially. We conclude that

$$\mathcal{W}\lambda \subseteq \lambda - \operatorname{cone}\big(\{\alpha \in \Delta \colon \lambda(\check\alpha) > 0\}\big) = \lambda - C_\lambda.$$

This implies that for each $x \in C_\lambda^\star$, the function f is maximal in $w = \mathbf{1}$. If, conversely, this is the case, then

$$f(s_\alpha) = (s_\alpha\lambda)(x) = \lambda(x) - \lambda(\check\alpha)\alpha(x) \geq \lambda(x)$$

implies that $\alpha(x) \geq 0$ for $\lambda(\check\alpha) > 0$, i.e., $x \in C_\lambda^\star$. ■

Now we turn to the action of $\mathcal{W} = S_{(J)}$ on the Banach space $\ell^1(J,\mathbb{R})$. We define for $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\mu \in \ell^1(J,\mathbb{R})$

$$L_k(\mu) := \sup\{\mu_{j_1} + \cdots + \mu_{j_k} \colon j_i \in J, |\{j_1,\ldots,j_k\}| = k\}.$$

It follows immediately from the definition that L_k is an S_J-invariant weak-$*$ lower semicontinuous convex function satisfying $L_k(\mu) \leq \|\mu\|_1$. From [Neu99, Lemma 2.3] we recall that

$$L_k(\lambda) = L_k(\lambda_+) \quad \text{and} \quad L_k(-\lambda) = L_k(\lambda_-), \tag{15}$$

which further implies that

$$S(\lambda) := \sum_j \lambda_j = S(\lambda_+) - S(\lambda_-) = \lim_{k\to\infty} L_k(\lambda) - \lim_{k\to\infty} L_k(-\lambda).$$

For $\lambda \in \ell^1(J,\mathbb{R})$, let $\operatorname{co}(\lambda)$ denote the weak-$*$-closure of $\mathcal{W}\lambda$ and $\operatorname{co}^{\mathbf{n}}(\lambda)$ denote the norm closure of this set.

Lemma B.2 *For $\lambda, \mu \in \ell^1(J,\mathbb{R})$ we consider the following conditions:*

(I1) $L_k(\mu) \leq L_k(\lambda)$ *for* $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

(I2) $L_k(-\mu) \leq L_k(-\lambda)$ *for* $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

(I3) $\sum_j \mu_j = \sum_j \lambda_j$.

Then the following assertions hold:

(i) $\operatorname{co}(\lambda)$ *consists of all elements* μ *satisfying* (I1/2).

(ii) $\mathrm{co}^{\mathbf{n}}(\lambda)$ *consists of all elements* μ *satisfying* (I1)-(I3).

(iii) $\mu \in \mathrm{co}(\lambda) \Leftrightarrow \mu_\pm \in \mathrm{co}(\lambda_\pm)$.

(iv) $0 \leq \mu' \leq \mu, \mu \in \mathrm{co}(\lambda) \Rightarrow \mu' \in \mathrm{co}(\lambda)$.

(v) $\mathrm{co}(\lambda) = \mathrm{co}(\lambda_+) - \mathrm{co}(\lambda_-)$.

(vi) $\mathrm{Ext}(\mathrm{co}(\lambda)) \subseteq \mathrm{Ext}(\mathrm{co}(\lambda_+)) - \mathrm{Ext}(\mathrm{co}(\lambda_-))$.

Proof. (i) Since the functionals L_k are weak-$*$ lower semicontinuous and convex, $\mu \in \mathrm{co}(\lambda)$ implies (I1/2). From [Neu99, Prop. 2.8(2)] we derive that (I1/2) imply that μ is contained in the $\|\cdot\|_\infty$-closure of $\mathrm{conv}(\mathcal{W}\lambda)$, hence in particular contained in $\mathrm{co}(\lambda)$.

(ii) If $\mu \in \mathrm{co}^{\mathbf{n}}(\lambda)$, then μ satisfies (I3), in addition to (I1/2), because the summation functional $S(\mu) := \sum_j \mu_j$ is invariant under $\mathcal{W}$. The converse follows from [Neu99, Lemma 2.5]. Actually Neumann assumes that J is countable, but since his result can be applied to the countable subset $\mathrm{supp}(\mu) \cup \mathrm{supp}(\mu)$, the assertion holds in general.

(iii) follows immediately from (i), $L_k(\mu) = L_k(\mu_+)$ and $L_k(-\mu) = L_k(\mu_-)$.

(iv) is a consequence of (iii).

(v) Any $\mu \in \mathrm{co}(\lambda)$ can be written as $\mu = \mu_+ - \mu_-$, and we have seen in (iii) that $\mu_\pm \in \mathrm{co}(\lambda_\pm)$. To verify $\supseteq$, it suffices to show that $\lambda_+ - \mathcal{W}\lambda_- \subseteq \mathrm{co}(\lambda)$ because $\mathrm{co}(\lambda)$ is $\mathcal{W}$-invariant. For $w \in \mathcal{W}$ we observe that

$$0 \leq (\lambda_+ - w\lambda_-)_+ \leq \lambda_+,$$

so that (iv) implies $(\lambda_+ - w\lambda_-)_+ \in \mathrm{co}(\lambda_+)$. We likewise derive from

$$0 \leq (\lambda_+ - w\lambda_-)_- \leq w\lambda_-$$

that $(\lambda_+ - w\lambda_-)_- \in \mathrm{co}(w\lambda_-) = \mathrm{co}(\lambda_-)$. Hence $\lambda_+ - w\lambda_- \in \mathrm{co}(\lambda)$ follows from (iii).

(vi) If $\mu \in \mathrm{Ext}(\mathrm{co}(\lambda))$, then (iii) and (v) immediately imply that $\mu_\pm \in \mathrm{Ext}(\mathrm{co}(\lambda_\pm))$. ■

Extreme points

Now we turn to extreme points. Let $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}$ throughout the following statements.

Lemma B.3 *λ is an exposed point of* $\mathrm{co}(\lambda)$ *and in particular* $\lambda \in \mathrm{Ext}(\mathrm{co}(\lambda))$.

Proof. Every $w \in \mathcal{W} = S_{(J)}$ is a finite product of transpositions s_α for roots $\alpha = \varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_j \in \Delta$. Applying Lemma B.1 to the subspace $V \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{(J)}$ spanned by the vectors $e_i - e_j$ for which $s_{\varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_j}$ occurs in w, it follows that

$$\mathcal{W}\lambda \subseteq \lambda - C_\lambda \quad \text{for} \quad C_\lambda = \mathrm{cone}\{\varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_j \colon \lambda_i > \lambda_j\}.$$

The set

$$B := \overline{\operatorname{conv}}^{w^*}(\{\varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_j \colon \lambda_i > \lambda_j\}) \subseteq \ell^1(J, \mathbb{R})$$

is weak-$*$-compact, and for each pair (i, j) with $\lambda_i \geq \lambda_j$ we obtain for $x_\lambda := \sum_j \lambda_j e_j$

$$\langle \varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_j, x_\lambda \rangle = \lambda_i - \lambda_j \geq 1. \tag{16}$$

We conclude that the convex cone $\mathbb{R}_+ B$ is weak-$*$-closed with the compact base B ([Bob07, Ch. II, §7, No. 3]). Hence

$$\operatorname{co}(\lambda) \subseteq \lambda - \mathbb{R}_+ B,$$

so that

$$\{\lambda\} = \{\mu \in \operatorname{co}(\lambda) \colon \mu(x_\lambda) = \max\langle \operatorname{co}(\lambda), x_\lambda \rangle\}. \tag{17}$$

This proves that λ is an exposed point of $\operatorname{co}(\lambda)$. ■

Remark B.4 In the notation used in the proof of Lemma B.3, it follows by inequality (16) that for every $b \in B$ we have

$$(\forall b \in B) \quad \langle b, x_\lambda \rangle \geq 1.$$

Now let us consider the weak-$*$-continuous functional $f := \langle \cdot, x_\lambda \rangle \colon \ell^1(J, \mathbb{R}) \to \mathbb{R}$. Then we get by the above inequality along with (17) that $f(\lambda) = \sup f(\operatorname{co}(\lambda))$ and for every sequence $\{\mu_n\}_{n\geq 1}$ in $\operatorname{co}(\lambda)$ with $\lim\limits_{n\to\infty} f(\mu_n) = f(\lambda)$ we have $\mu_n = \lambda - t_n b_n$ with $b_n \in B$ and $t_n \in \mathbb{R}_+$ satisfying

$$0 \leq t_n \leq t_n \langle b_n, x_\lambda \rangle = \langle \lambda, x_\lambda \rangle - \langle \mu_n, x_\lambda \rangle = f(\lambda) - f(\mu_n)$$

for every $n \geq 1$. Hence $\lim\limits_{n\to\infty} t_n = 0$, and then $\lim\limits_{n\to\infty} \|\mu_n - \lambda\| = 0$. This shows that we actually have $\lambda \in \operatorname{StrExp}^*(\operatorname{co}(\lambda))$ (see Definition 4.1).

Since $\operatorname{co}(\lambda)$ is a bounded, hence weak-$*$-compact subset, [Bob07, Cor. to Prop. 2 in §I.7.1] implies that

$$\operatorname{Ext}(\operatorname{co}(\lambda)) \subseteq \overline{\mathcal{W}\lambda}^{w^*}. \tag{18}$$

On every bounded subset of $\ell^1(J, \mathbb{Z})$, the weak-$*$-topology coincides with the product topology induced from $\mathbb{Z}^J$. For a subset $F \subseteq J$ and $\mu \in \mathbb{Z}^J$, we define $\mu_F \in \mathbb{Z}^J$ by

$$(\mu_F)_j := \begin{cases} \mu_j & \text{for } j \in F \\ 0 & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

We thus obtain

$$\overline{\mathcal{W}\lambda}^{w^*} = \{w\lambda_F \colon w \in \mathcal{W}, F \subseteq J, |F| < \infty\}. \tag{19}$$

The set of all these elements can also be specified by the condition

$$(\forall n \in \mathbb{Z}) \ |\mu^{-1}(n)| \leq |\lambda^{-1}(n)|.$$

We derive in particular that

$$\lambda_\pm \in \overline{\mathcal{W}\lambda}^{w^*}. \tag{20}$$

Now the interesting question is, for which subset $F \subseteq J$ is λ_F an extreme point of $\mathrm{co}(\lambda)$. For $0 \leq \lambda$, we call a restriction λ_F, $F \subseteq \mathrm{supp}(\lambda)$, an *upper part* of λ if $j \notin F$ implies that $k \notin F$ whenever $0 < \lambda_k < \lambda_j$. This means that, for $k := |F|$, the set $\lambda(F)$ contains the k-largest values of λ.

Lemma B.5 (i) *If $\lambda_+ \neq 0 \neq \lambda_-$, then $0 \notin \mathrm{Ext}(\mathrm{co}(\lambda))$.*

(ii) *For every extreme point $\mu \in \mathrm{co}(\lambda)$ either $\mu_+ \in \mathcal{W}\lambda_+$ or $\mu_- \in \mathcal{W}\lambda_-$.*

(iii) *If $\mu_+ = \lambda_+$ and $\mu_- \in \mathrm{Ext}(\mathrm{co}(\lambda_-))$ or $\mu_- = \lambda_-$ and $\mu_+ \in \mathrm{Ext}(\mathrm{co}(\lambda_+))$, then $\mu \in \mathrm{Ext}(\mathrm{co}(\lambda))$.*

(iv) *If $0 \leq \lambda$, then $\lambda_F \in \mathrm{Ext}(\mathrm{co}(\lambda))$ if and only if it is an upper part of λ.*

Proof. (i) If $\lambda_+ \neq 0 \neq \lambda_-$, then the description of $\mathrm{co}(\lambda)$ in Lemma B.2(i) implies the existence of some $\delta > 0$ with $\pm\delta\varepsilon_j \subseteq \mathrm{co}(\lambda_\pm) \subseteq \mathrm{co}(\lambda)$. Hence $0 \notin \mathrm{Ext}(\mathrm{co}(\lambda))$.

(ii) Suppose that $\mu \in \mathrm{Ext}(\mathrm{co}(\lambda))$. We have already seen in Lemma B.2(vi) that this implies

$$\mu_\pm \in \mathrm{Ext}(\mathrm{co}(\lambda_\pm)) \subseteq \overline{\mathcal{W}\lambda_\pm}^{w^*}.$$

We therefore have $\mu_+ \in \mathcal{W}\lambda_{F_1,+}$ and $\mu_- \in \mathcal{W}\lambda_{F_2,-}$ for finite subsets $F_1 \subseteq \mathrm{supp}(\lambda_+)$ and $F_2 \subseteq \mathrm{supp}(\lambda_-)$. Assume, contrary to (ii), that $\lambda_{F_1,+} \neq \lambda_+$ and $\lambda_{F_2,-} \neq \lambda_-$. Then μ lies in the $\mathcal{W}$-orbit of $\lambda_{F_1 \cup F_2} = \lambda_{F_1,+} - \lambda_{F_2,-}$. That this is not an extreme point follows from the fact that 0 is not an extreme point of $\mathrm{co}(\lambda|_{J\setminus(F_1\cup F_2)})$, as we have seen in (i).

(iii) Suppose that $\mu_+ = \lambda_+$ and write $\mu = t\alpha + (1-t)\beta$ with $\alpha, \beta \in \mathrm{co}(\lambda)$ and $0 < t < 1$. From the inequalities (I1) for $k \leq |\mathrm{supp}(\lambda_+)|$ it then follows that on $\mathrm{supp}(\lambda_+)$ both α and β coincide with λ_+. The inequalities (I1) for $k > |\mathrm{supp}(\lambda_+)|$ further lead to $\lambda_+ = \alpha_+ = \beta_+$, and hence to $\mu_- = t\alpha_- + (1-t)\beta_-$. If $\mu_- \in \mathrm{Ext}(\mathrm{co}(\lambda_-))$, we thus arrive at $\alpha_- = \beta_- = \mu_-$, so that $\mu \in \mathrm{Ext}(\mathrm{co}(\lambda))$. The other assertion follows by replacing λ by $-\lambda$.

(iv) In view of (18) and (19), it remains to show that, for $\lambda \geq 0$ and $F \subseteq \mathrm{supp}(J)$, the element λ_F is extremal if and only if it is an upper part. Since λ is extreme by Lemma B.3, we may w.l.o.g. assume that $\lambda_F \neq \lambda$, so that $L_k(\lambda_F) < L_k(\lambda)$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ be minimal with this property, i.e., the $k-1$ largest values $\lambda_{j_1}, \ldots, \lambda_{j_{k-1}}$ of λ and λ_F coincide. In particular, $j_i \in F$ for $i \leq k-1$. By a similar argument as in (c), we see that, if λ_F is extremal, then its restriction to $J' := J \setminus \{j_1, \ldots, j_{k-1}\}$ is an extreme point of $\mathrm{co}(\lambda')$ for $\lambda' := \lambda|_{J'}$. We may therefore assume that $k = 1$, i.e., that $L_1(\lambda_F) < L_1(\lambda)$. Since all other values of λ_F are also values of λ, it follows that, for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$L_k(\lambda) - L_k(\lambda_F) \geq L_1(\lambda) - L_1(\lambda_F) > 0.$$

If $\lambda_F \neq 0$ and $\lambda_{j_0} > 0$, we obtain for $\delta < \min(L_1(\lambda) - L_1(\lambda_F), \lambda_{j_0})$ from Lemma B.2(i) that $\lambda_F \pm \delta\varepsilon_{j_0} \in \mathrm{co}(\lambda)$. Therefore λ_F is not extremal. This proves that, whenever λ_F is extremal, we must have $|F| = k-1$, so that λ_F is an upper part.

Suppose, conversely, that λ_F is an upper part. Then a similar argument as in (iii), using that $0 \in \mathrm{Ext}(\mathrm{co}(\lambda))$ holds for the restriction to $J \setminus F$, implies that λ_F is extremal. ■

Lemma B.6 *We have*

$$\mathrm{co}^{\mathbf{n}}(\lambda) \cap \mathrm{Ext}(\mathrm{co}(\lambda)) = \mathcal{W}\lambda,$$

and if $\lambda \geq 0$ or $\lambda \leq 0$, then this is precisely the set of extreme points

$$\mathrm{Ext}(\mathrm{co}^{\mathbf{n}}(\lambda)) = \mathcal{W}\lambda. \tag{21}$$

Proof. First we note that Lemma B.2(i),(ii) imply that

$$\mathrm{co}^{\mathbf{n}}(\lambda) = \{\mu \in \mathrm{co}(\lambda) \colon S(\mu) = S(\lambda)\}.$$

If $\lambda \geq 0$, then $S(\mu) \leq S(\lambda)$ for every $\mu \in \mathrm{co}(\lambda)$, so that $\mathrm{co}^{\mathbf{n}}(\lambda)$ is a face of $\mathrm{co}(\lambda)$. Therefore

$$\mathrm{Ext}(\mathrm{co}^{\mathbf{n}}(\lambda)) = \mathrm{Ext}(\mathrm{co}(\lambda)) \cap \mathrm{co}^{\mathbf{n}}(\lambda).$$

From the description of the extreme points of $\mathrm{co}(\lambda)$ in Lemma B.5(iv) as elements conjugate to some upper part λ_F, we see that whenever $\lambda_F \neq \lambda$, then $S(\lambda_F) < S(\lambda)$. We thus arrive at (21). The same argument applies for $\lambda \leq 0$.

In general, we know that the extreme points μ of $\mathrm{co}(\lambda)$ are precisely the Weyl groups orbits of elements of theform $\lambda_+ - \lambda_{-,F}$, where $\lambda_{F,-}$ is an upper part of λ_- and of $\lambda_{F,+} - \lambda_-$, where $\lambda_{F,+}$ is an upper part of λ_+ (Lemma B.5). Since

$$S(\lambda_+ - \lambda_{-,F}) = S(\lambda_+) - S(\lambda_{-,F}) > S(\lambda_+) - S(\lambda_-) = S(\lambda)$$

for $\lambda_{-,F} \neq \lambda_-$ and

$$S(\lambda_{+,F} - \lambda_-) < S(\lambda_+) - S(\lambda_-) = S(\lambda)$$

for $\lambda_+ \neq \lambda_{F,+}$, every extreme point of $\mathrm{co}(\lambda)$ contained in $\mathrm{co}^{\mathbf{n}}(\lambda)$ lies in $\mathcal{W}\lambda$. This completes the proof. ■

Proposition B.7 *For arbitrary $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}$ we have*

$$\mathrm{Ext}(\mathrm{co}^{\mathbf{n}}(\lambda)) = \mathrm{co}^{\mathbf{n}}(\lambda) \cap \mathrm{Ext}(\mathrm{co}(\lambda)) = \mathcal{W}\lambda. \tag{22}$$

Proof. Due to Lemma B.6 we only have to prove that

$$\mathrm{Ext}(\mathrm{co}^{\mathbf{n}}(\lambda)) \subseteq \mathcal{W}\lambda. \tag{23}$$

First note that this inclusion is a direct consequence of [Ne98, Lemma I.19] if the index set J is finite. It easily follows from this remark that if $\mu \in \mathrm{Ext}(\mathrm{co}^{\mathbf{n}}(\lambda))$

and $\operatorname{supp}\mu$ is finite, then $\mu \in \mathcal{W}\lambda$. Therefore, in order to prove that (23) holds true, it suffices to prove that the support of any extreme point of $\mathrm{co}^{\mathbf{n}}(\lambda)$ is a finite set.

To this end let $\mu \in \mathrm{Ext}(\mathrm{co}^{\mathbf{n}}(\lambda))$ arbitrary and write $\mu = \mu_+ - \mu_-$ with $\mu_\pm \geq 0$ and $\operatorname{supp}\mu_+ \cap \operatorname{supp}\mu_- = \emptyset$. There exists a partition $J = J_+ \cup J_-$ with $\operatorname{supp}\mu_\pm \subset J_\pm$. If J is infinite, then J_+ or J_- is infinite. Without loss of generality, we assume that J_+ is infinite. If we denote by $\lambda_1 \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_N$ the positive values of λ_+ counted according to the multiplicities, then we may also assume that

$$\operatorname{supp}\lambda_+ = \{1, \dots, N\} \subset \mathbb{N} \subset J_+$$

and for a suitable subset $\widetilde{J}_+ \subset J_+$ we have the partition $J_+ = \mathbb{N}\dot{\cup}\widetilde{J}_+$.

It follows by Lemma B.2(ii) and (15) that the property $\mu \in \mathrm{co}^{\mathbf{n}}(\lambda)$ is equivalent to the conditions that for every integer $k \geq 1$ we have $L_k(\mu_\pm) \leq L_k(\lambda_\pm)$, and moreover $S(\mu) = S(\lambda)$. If we denote

$$c := S(\lambda_+) + S(\mu_-) - S(\lambda_-) \leq S(\lambda_+),$$

and

$$S = \{\nu \in \ell^1(J_+, \mathbb{R}) :\ 0 \leq \nu,\ L_k(\nu) \leq L_k(\lambda_+) \text{ for } k \geq 1,\ S(\nu) = c\}$$

it then follows that $\mu_+ \in S$.

Note that we actually have $\mu_+ \in \mathrm{Ext}(S)$. In fact, if μ_+ were a nontrivial convex combination of two different elements in S, then we can extend these elements to functions on J which are both equal to μ_- on J_-. These functions belong to $\mathrm{co}^{\mathbf{n}}(\lambda)$ by Lemma B.2(ii) and their corresponding convex combination is μ, which is impossible.

We now claim that $S = \mathrm{co}^{\mathbf{n}}(\lambda')$, where $0 \leq \lambda' \in \ell^1(J_+, \mathbb{R}) = \ell_1(\mathbb{N} \cup \widetilde{J}_+, \mathbb{R})$ is defined such that $\operatorname{supp}\lambda' \subseteq \mathbb{N}$, and $\lambda'_k = a'_k - a'_{k-1}$, where $a'_k = \min(L_k(\lambda_+), c)$ for every $k \geq 1$ and $a'_0 = 0$. Note that $a'_k \nearrow c$ as $k \to \infty$, and $\lambda'_1 \geq \lambda'_2 \geq \cdots \geq 0$ (the sequences (a'_k) and $(L_k(\lambda_+))$ are "concave"). The set $\operatorname{supp}\lambda'$ is finite since so is $\operatorname{supp}\lambda_+$. Moreover we have $L_k(\lambda') = a'_k = \min(L_k(\lambda_+), c)$ for every $k \geq 1$ and $S(\lambda') = c$, hence by using Lemma B.2(ii) again we get $S = \mathrm{co}^{\mathbf{n}}(\lambda')$, as claimed.

It now follows that $\mu_+ \in \mathrm{Ext}(\mathrm{co}^{\mathbf{n}}(\lambda'))$ with $\lambda' \geq 0$, and then $\operatorname{supp}\mu_+$ is a finite set as a consequence of Lemma B.6. We may therefore choose a partition $J = J_+ \cup J_-$ in such a way that J_- is infinite. Then a similar reasoning shows that $\operatorname{supp}\mu_-$ is likewise finite. Thus any extreme point $\mu \in \mathrm{Ext}(\mathrm{co}^{\mathbf{n}}(\lambda))$ has finite support, and we are done, in view of the remarks at the very beginning of the proof. ∎

Acknowledgment

The present research was begun during a one-month visit of first-named author at the Department of Mathematics of the Technische Universität Darmstadt, and was further developed during the conferences held at the Emmy-Noether-Zentrum in Erlangen and at the Mathematische Forschungsinstitut Oberwolfach; the hospitality of these institutes is gratefully acknowledged.

References

[Ar81] Arazy, J., *More on convergence in unitary matrix spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **83** (1981), no. 1, 44–48.

[AK06] Arveson, W., and R. V. Kadison, *Diagonals of self-adjoint operators*, in "Operator theory, operator algebras, and applications", Contemp. Math., 414, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2006, pp. 247–263.

[Au93] Außenhofer, L., "Contributions to the Duality Theory of Abelian Groups," Ph.D. thesis, Eberhard-Karls-Univ. Tübingen, 1993.

[BG08] Beltiţă, D., and J.E. Galé, *Holomorphic geometric models for representations of C^*-algebras*, J. Funct. Anal. **255** (2008), no. 10, 2888–2932.

[Bob07] Bourbaki, N., "Espaces vectoriels topologiques. Ch. 1–5". Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2007.

[Bog83] Bourgin, R. D., "Geometric aspects of convex sets with the Radon–Nikodým property." Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 993. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1983.

[Boy93] Boyer, R., *Representation theory of infinite dimensional unitary groups*, Contemp. Math. **145** (1993), Americ. Math. Soc., 381–391.

[Chu81] C.-H. Chu, *A note on scattered C^*-algebras and the Radon–Nikodým property*, J. London Math. Soc. (2) **24** (1981), no. 3, 533–536.

[Dix64] Dixmier, J., "Les C^*-algèbres et leurs représentations," Gauthier-Villars, Paris, 1964.

[FLP01] Fonf, V. P., J. Lindenstrauss, and R. R. Phelps, *Infinite dimensional convexity*, in "Handbook of the geometry of Banach spaces," Vol. I, Eds. W. B. Johnson and J. Lindenstrauss, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 2001, pp. 599–670.

[GK60] Glimm, J. G., and R. V. Kadison, *Unitary operators in C^*-algebras*, Pacific J. Math. **10** (1960), 547–556.

[GW98] Goodman, R., and N. R. Wallach, "Representations and Invariants of the Classical Groups," Encyclopedia of Math. and its Appl., Cambridge Univ. Press, 1998.

[Hu92] Humphreys, J. E., “Reflection Groups and Coxeter Groups,” Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics **29**, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1992.

[Ki73] Kirillov, A. A., *Representation of the infinite dimensional unitary group*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk. SSSR **212** (1973), 288–290.

[KOS03] Kishimoto, A., N. Ozawa, and S. Sakai, *Homogeneity of the pure state space of a separable* C^**-algebra*, Canad. Math. Bull. **46** (2003), no. 3, 365–372.

[KS77] Kolomycev, V. I., and Yu. S. Samoĭlenko, *Irreducible representations of inductive limits of groups.* (Russian) Ukrain. Mat. Ž. **29** (1977), no. 4, 526–531, 565. English translation: *Ukrainian Math. J.* **29** (1977), no. 4, 402–405.

[Le90] Lennard, C., $\mathcal{C}_1$ *is uniformly Kadec–Klee*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **109** (1990), no. 1, 71–77.

[Lin66] Lindenstrauss, J., *On extreme points in* l_1, Israel J. Math. **4** (1966), 59–61.

[Ne98] Neeb, K.-H., *Holomorphic highest weight representations of infinite dimensional complex classical groups*, J. reine angew. Math. **497** (1998), 171–222

[Ne00] —, “Holomorphy and Convexity in Lie Theory,” Expositions in Mathematics **28**, de Gruyter Verlag, Berlin, 2000

[Ne01] Neeb, K.-H., *Representations of infinite dimensional groups*, in “Infinite Dimensional Kähler Manifolds,” Eds. A. Huckleberry, T. Wurzbacher, DMV-Seminar **31**, Birkhäuser Verlag, 2001, pp. 131–178

[Ne08] —, *A complex semigroup approach to group algebras of infinite dimensional Lie groups*, Semigroup Forum **77** (2008), 5–35.

[Ne09] —, *Semi-bounded unitary representations of infinite dimensional Lie groups*, in “Infinite dimensional harmonic analysis IV”, Eds. J. Hilgert et al., World Sci. Publ., Hackensack, NJ, 2009, pp. 209–222.

[Ne10] —, *Semibounded representations and invariant cones in infinite dimensional Lie algebras*, Confluentes Math. **2** (2010), no. 1, 37–134.

[NS10] Neeb, K.-H., and H. Seppänen, *Borel-Weil theory for groups over commutative Banach algebras*, J. reine angew. Math., to appear; arXiv:math.RT.0909.1697, 11 Sep 2009.

[Neu99] Neumann, A., *An infinite dimensional version of the Schur–Horn Convexity Theorem*, J. Funct. Anal. **161** (1999), 418–451.

[OP08] Oja, E., M. Põldvere, *Norm-preserving extensions of functionals and denting points of convex sets*, Math. Z. **258** (2008), no. 2, 333–345.

[Ol79] Olshanskiĭ, G. I., *Unitary representations of the infinite dimensional groups* $\mathrm{U}(p,\infty)$*,* $\mathrm{Sp}_0(p,\infty)$*,* $\mathrm{Sp}(p,\infty)$ *and the corresponding motion groups*, Functional Anal. Appl. **12** (1979), 185–195.

[Ol84] —, *Infinite dimensional classical groups of finite* $\mathbb{R}$*-rank: description of representations and asymptotic properties*, Functional Anal. Appl. **18:1**(1984), 22–34.

[Ol90] —, *Unitary representations of infinite dimensional pairs* (G,K) *and the formalism of R. Howe*, in "Representation of Lie groups and related topics", Eds. A. M. Vershik and D. P. Zhelobenko, Adv. Stud. Contemp. Math., 7, Gordon and Breach, New York, 1990; 269–463.

[Pa83] Paterson, A. L., *Harmonic analysis on unitary groups*, J. Funct. Anal. **53** (1983), 203–223.

[Pa87] —, *Contractive representation theory for the unitary group of* $C(X,M_2)$, Canad. J. Math. **39:3** (1987), 612–624.

[Ph89] Phelps, R. R., "Convex functions, monotone operators and differentiability". Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 1364. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1989.

[Pi88] Pickrell, D., *The separable representations of* $\mathrm{U}(\mathcal{H})$, Proc. of the Amer. Math. Soc. **102** (1988), 416–420.

[Sa67] Sakai, S., *On type I* C^**-algebras*, Proc. of the Amer. Math. Soc. **18** (1967), 861–863.

[Se49] Segal, I. E., *Two sided ideals in operator algebras*, Ann. of Math. **50** (1949), 856–865.

[Se57] Segal, I. E., *The structure of a class of representations of the unitary group on a Hilbert space*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **8** (1957), 197–203.

[Si81] Simon, B., *Convergence in trace ideals*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **83** (1981), no. 1, 39–43.

[SV75] Strătilă, Ş., and D. Voiculescu, "Representations of AF-algebras and of the group $\mathrm{U}(\infty)$", Lecture Notes in Math. **486**, Springer Verlag, Berlin, 1975.

[Ta02] Takesaki, M., "Theory of Operator Algebras I". Reprint of the first (1979) edition. Encyclopaedia of Mathematical Sciences, 124. Operator Algebras and Non-commutative Geometry, 5. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2002.

[Vo76] Voiculescu, D., *A non-commutative Weyl-von Neumann theorem*, Rev. Roumaine Math. Pures Appl. **21** (1976), no. 1, 97–113.

[Wi92] Wildberger, N. J., *The moment map of a Lie group representation*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **330** (1992), no. 1, 257–268.